

the whole resistance, let $a=y$, then $2\mu\pi n v \times \frac{1}{2} a^2 = \frac{\mu\pi n v a^2}{2}$; but $\pi a^2 =$ a great circle of a sphere $= c^2$, which being substituted in the foregoing expression, gives the resistance $= \frac{\mu n c^2 v}{2}$; but when such a circle or a cylinder of this thickness moves forward in a fluid in the direction of its length, its resistance has been found $= \mu n c^2 v$; whence it is evident, that the resistance of a globe is just half the resistance of a cylinder of the same thickness, if it moves in the same fluid as the globe in the direction of its axis, and with the same velocity.

R E M A R K III.

Such a fluid as has been hitherto considered is not to be found, consequently the resistance of fluids which actually exists, to bodies moving therein must be different from what was found in the foregoing remarks. We shall confine ourselves chiefly to the air, whose resistance is the object of the present enquiry. We are first to observe, that the air is a compressed fluid, and presses equally on all parts of a body placed therein, so that it is impossible that this pressure can produce motion in a body which is at rest, but the case is different with respect to a body in motion, where the impulse of the stroke against the particles of the fluid must be taken into the account, as well as the pressure. Indeed, if the circumambient fluid continued every where round the body of the same weight, the diminution of the body's motion would proceed from the force of the stroke only; and this is nearly the case in flow motions. But if the body move with great celerity it puts the air into a very sensible motion,

motion, whereby the pressure is altered from what it was, and remains no longer the same on every part of the body, and therefore the state of the body is altered, not only by the resistance of the air, but also by its pressure. Particular regard is to be had to the hinder part of the moving body, for when it was at rest it was just as much pressed forward as backward. But when the body has acquired a very quick motion, so that the air cannot follow it closely, there can be scarcely any pressure on the hinder part, but the pressure on the fore-part is not cast off nor avoided in the same manner, and therefore the resistance on that part must be considerably increased.

Hence it may be concluded, that if the velocity be diminished, the pressure on the fore-part will still be greater than on the hind-part; and, therefore, the resistance will continue in this case greater than what the body suffers from the stroke only, because the air incumbent on the fore-part of the body is heavier than that behind. There is a remarkable circumstance in air different from water, or other fluids; it is this, that it may be compressed into a much lesser space, or expanded into a much greater than what it occupies in its natural state, and therefore it may vary greatly with respect to its density. If, therefore, a body moves with great celerity through the air, and drives this before it, it is evident, that the air will be denser before, and rarer behind it, and for this reason the pressure of the air will be greatest on the fore-part, and will conspire with the resistance occasioned by the greater number of the particles which oppose the body's motion towards diminishing its celerity; and since the fluid becomes denser before the body, as its celerity increases, it is sufficiently evident, that the law of resistance in very swift motions is greater than that

which is commonly received, agreeable to Mr. Robins's assertion.

Hence it appears, that a body moving in the air is obstructed by two forces; the one arises from the stroke against the particles of the fluid, which is properly called resistance, and the other from the unequal pressure. Although both these forces must be taken into the account in determining the body's motion, yet it will be proper to consider them separately, as they proceed from different causes. We will first consider the force of the stroke, by which the body loses as much motion as it communicates to the particles of air, for just so much force as is requisite to give motion to the particles of air, is exerted against the body towards the contrary part, that is, towards retarding its motion. Here it is easy to conceive, that this resistance must be less than what it appeared to be by either of the two foregoing remarks. In the last case, where the elastic particles were supposed to bound away before the body, the expression for the resistance was found $=$ to the weight of a cylinder of the fluid, whose length is $= 4v$; but, in the first case, where the particles are supposed without elasticity, and to be drove forward with the same velocity as the body, the length of such a cylinder is $= 2v$. When a body strikes against the particles of air, they neither fly off directly before it, nor yet are pushed on in the same direction with the same velocity as the body, but glide off sideways, without acquiring any great motion, unless the body moves very swiftly.

Since, therefore, the particles of air are not put into so great a motion as was supposed in the two foregoing cases, the resistance must be less than the weight of a cylinder of either the length $4v$ or $2v$, and for this reason the resistance

ance

ance of the air to a plane $= c^2$ moving with the velocity $\sqrt{v}$ perpendicular to the air, has been measured by the weight of a column of air, whose base is $= c^2$, and height $= v$. It has been found by experiment, that a body in water suffers a resistance equal to the weight of a column of water whose height is $= v$, and since the particles of water and those of air give way to a moving body in the same manner, it has been concluded, that the resistance of both these fluids is to be treated of in the same manner.

In order to attain a clearer conception of this subject, we may observe, that when a body moves in a fluid with a given velocity, it is acted on by the same force as if it stood still, and the fluid flowed upon it with the same velocity. Let us suppose a vessel full of water with a hole in the bottom, and this hole to be pressed close with a finger, then shall the finger sustain a pressure equal to that of a column of water, whose base is equal to the hole, and height equal to that of the water in the vessel. If now the finger be removed to a very small distance, so that the water may spout freely upon it, one would be apt to conclude, that the finger sustained the action of the same force as before. But the water spouts out with a velocity a body would acquire by falling through a height equal to that of the water in the vessel; and, therefore, the force of the water-spout upon the finger will be $=$ the weight of a column of water, whose base is the hole and height the same as a body must fall through to acquire the velocity of the water; and the foregoing opinion is strengthened, namely, that the resistance as well in air as water is equal to the weight of a cylinder of the fluid, whose height is v , namely, that by falling through which the velocity is acquired.

We

We shall now discuss this subject more particularly, by the help of the foregoing principles, namely, that the resistance is as the force requisite for communicating so much motion to the fluid as is produced by the motion of the body.

Let CD (Fig. 14.) be at rest, and the fluid move against it in the direction AB with the velocity $\sqrt{b}$, or that which a body would acquire by falling through the space b . It is evident, that if the particles of the fluid were to continue their motion without obstruction, the body would be no way affected by such a motion. But since the particles of the fluid upon approaching the body are forced to diverge, and undergo an alteration both in the velocity and direction, and therefore the body must sustain the action of a force sufficient to produce both these changes. Let the fluid approach the obstacle, and at A run with the velocity $\sqrt{b}$, and as A a Mm. Now if a ray or small stream of the fluid be supposed to run in the canal A a Mm, in this case not only the direction is changed, but the canal becomes wider or narrower, according as the velocity of the stream diminishes or increases. Let the section of the canal A a = a be first of an infinite number of such small streams, and that each move in a separate canal. Let the section Mm = z , and the velocity of the fluid matter at Mm = $\sqrt{v}$, now since the velocity of a fluid moving through any canal or pipe, is inversely as the section of the same, we have $a : z :: \sqrt{v} : \sqrt{b}$, or $a \sqrt{b} = z \sqrt{v}$. Draw an axis AP perpendicular to AB, and put AP = x , PM = y . Let QN be parallel and infinitely

infinitely near to PM, so shall PQ = MO = x , ON = y , MN = $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$, and the quantity of the fluid in MNm will be expressed by $z \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. Let moreover $y = p x$, then shall MN = $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = x \sqrt{1 + p^2}$, and if R be the center of a circle, whose curvature is the same as that of the canal at Mm, we shall have the radius of curvature MR = $-\frac{x \times 1 + p^2 \frac{1}{2}}{p}$ *.

Now to make the particles of the fluid MNm move in the direction of this curve, the force in the direction MR must be to the weight of these particles as $2 v$ to $-\frac{x \times 1 + p^2 \frac{1}{2}}{p}$, that is, (if the weight of the particles be expressed by their bulk $z x \sqrt{1 + p^2} - \frac{x \times 1 + p^2 \frac{1}{2}}{p} : 2 v :: z x \sqrt{1 + p^2} : -\frac{2 v x p}{1 + p^2}$ = the force in the direction MR. Moreover as the width of the canal Mm increases the velocity diminishes; to this end a force is required, which acting in the direction mS, obstructs the progressive motion of the fluid at m, let this force = T, then $z x \sqrt{1 + p^2} \times v = -T x \sqrt{1 + p^2}$; or T = $-z v$. These two forces MR and mS are exerted at every point M, to change the course of the fluid through the canal A a Mm. Now to determine the joint effect of these forces we shall resolve each into the constant directions BA and AP. The first force MR which was $-\frac{2 v x p}{1 + p^2}$, gives the force

* Since $y = p x$, and x constant, we have $y = p x$, and if MN = w = $x \sqrt{1 + p^2}$, then will MR = $-\frac{w^3}{x y} = \frac{-x^3 \times 1 + p^2 \frac{1}{2}}{x^2 p} = -\frac{x \times 1 + p^2 \frac{1}{2}}{p}$.

$\frac{2vz\rho}{1+p^2 \times \sqrt{1+p^2}}$ in the direction BA, and $-\frac{2vz\rho}{1+p^2 \times \sqrt{1+p^2}}$ in the direction AP, the other force mS , which was $-zv$, gives $\frac{-zv}{\sqrt{1+p^2}}$ in the direction BA, and $\frac{zv}{\sqrt{1+p^2}}$ in the direction PA; therefore the whole force exerted on the particles MN m in the direction BA is $\frac{-2vz\rho}{1+p^2 \times \sqrt{1+p^2}} - \frac{zv}{\sqrt{1+p^2}}$, and in the direction AQ is $\frac{-2vz\rho}{1+p^2 \times \sqrt{1+p^2}} + \frac{zv}{\sqrt{1+p^2}}$, but we have already shewn that $z = \frac{a\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{v}}$, consequently the force which urges the particles in MN m in the direction BA is $\frac{-2ap\sqrt{bv}}{1+p^2 \times \sqrt{1+p^2}} - \frac{ap\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{v \times 1+p^2}}$, the fluent of this expression is $\frac{-2ap\sqrt{bv}}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} + C$ = the whole force exerted in the direction BA, to cause an alteration in the motion of the fluid matter in the canal Aa Mm, when the value of C is properly assigned. In order to determine the value of C, we are to observe, that when AP = 0 (in which case p is infinitely great) and $v = b$, the whole force must vanish, put therefore $p = 0$, and then shall $C - 2ab = 0$, and consequently $C = 2ab$; contained in the canal Aa Mm, a force is required in the direction BA $= 2ab - \frac{2ap\sqrt{bv}}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} = 2ab \times 1 - \frac{p\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{b \times 1+p^2}}$. But $\frac{p}{\sqrt{1+p^2}}$ is the cosine of the angle MNO or the angle mSB, the radius being 1: hence the force is $2ab \times 1 - \frac{\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{b}} \times \cos. mSB$ and

and this is the force by which the body CD is impelled in the direction AB; but $2ab$ expresses the weight of a cylinder of the fluid matter, whose base is $Aa = a$, and height $= 2b$; where $\sqrt{b}$ expresses the velocity with which the fluid rushes against the body, or, which comes to the same purpose, the body against the fluid. This force, and consequently the resistance, depends partly on the direction S m , in which the particles of the fluid diverge sideways, and partly on their velocity after the stroke.

Hence it appears, that if the fluid matter near the body diverges sideways, so as to make a right angle with its natural direction AB, or that the angle mSB = 90°, then shall the foregoing force = $2ab$; and if this happens to all the fluid matter which lies in the way of the body, we have the very same expression for the resistance as we found in the first case of the foregoing remark. The same thing happens when the fluid loses all its motion by the stroke; but if the fluid matter after the stroke return in the same direction, and with the same velocity, then shall the angle mSB = 180° and $\sqrt{v} = \sqrt{b}$, therefore the force will be $= 4ab$, the same as was found in the other case of the foregoing remark.

If the velocity and direction of each thread Aa of the fluid which moves against the body BD, were known, the force of its action against the body might be determined. For this purpose, it is not necessary to know the width and the curvature every where of the canal Aa Mm, in which the small stream Aa moves, but it is sufficient if we know it in the last point of the canal; since the force of the stream Aa Mm in the direction AB is expressed by

$$2ab \times 1 - \frac{\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{b}} \times \cos. mSB, \text{ or because } \frac{\sqrt{v}}{\sqrt{b}} = \frac{a}{z}; \text{ by } 2ab \times$$

$1 - \frac{a}{z} \times \text{col. } mSB$, where z denotes the width of the canal in the last point M , and the angle mSB is determined by the position of the last increment MN^m . It remains therefore only to fix upon the point which is to be esteemed the last of the canal; if we go so far that the fluid may pass by the body, and attain its first direction and velocity, then shall $z = a$, and the angle mSB vanish, and therefore its col = 1, then shall the force acting on the body in the direction $AB = 2ab \times 1 - 1 = 0$, and the body suffers no resistance. Hence it appears, that for air or water, we are not to take the point of the canal for the last, where the motion behind the body corresponds exactly with that at the beginning of the canal. In examining into the reason of this, we are to consider more attentively the origin of this force which acts upon the body; we have seen that the force of the part of the canal $AaMm$ exerted on the body in the direction AB is $= 2ab \times 1 - \frac{a}{z} \times \text{col. } mSB$, which consequently will increase so long as the angle mSB increases, that is, as S moves from Aa , or so long as the canal bends from the body, the force acting on it in the direction AB will increase.

If the canal change its direction and bend the contrary way, and tend towards the body from M to D (Fig. 15) diminishes, so that when Dd becomes parallel to Aa , and of the same width the force becomes = 0.

If the canal takes such a course it will be necessary to consider each part AM and MD separately: the first part AM bending from, and the other MD towards the body. From the first AM arises a force which in the direction AB

is

is expressed by $2ab \times 1 - \frac{a}{z} \times \text{col. } MSB$. The other part DM produces a force which is opposite to the first, and inclines the body to move back in the direction BA . Now, because no motion can be produced in a body without some force acting upon it, this last force can affect the body only when the pressure of the fluid behind it becomes sufficiently strong to urge the body forward. Now the pressure of air and water being different on the fore and hind part, that on the fore part being commonly stronger, it appears that the force arising from the part MD can have little or no effect on the body. Therefore the force arising from the whole canal AMD , which really acts upon the body, is very nearly the same as that arising from the part AM , and may

therefore be expressed by $2ab \times 1 - \frac{a}{z} \times \text{col. } MSA$. It appears from hence, that if the fluid was of such a structure, that the force arising from the part MD , which urges the body in the direction BA could be fully exerted on the body, it would suffer nothing from the impulse of such a fluid, and consequently would not be resisted by it. This can happen only in the case when the matter is infinitely fluid, and also compressed by an infinite force. Perhaps the subtile celestial matter in which the planets and comets move is of this kind, and may be the reason why no diminution is observed in their motions. But that the air, water, and other known fluids are not of this nature, appears by their very great resistance, and hence, in these, the part MD which bends towards the body, can have very little effect on its motion, the other part AM , which bends the contrary way exerts its whole force without opposition, and this is the reason of its great resistance.

But in order to judge more accurately of this resistance, we shall consider a cylinder against which a fluid moves with a given velocity. Let $OP \propto Q$ (Fig. 16.) be half the cylinder, AOQ its axis. Now we shall consider this half only, since whatever we find true concerning it will be the same of the other half also. Let us conceive this cylinder to be placed in a canal, whose half width is AH , and let air or water flow through this canal with a given velocity against the body. Let us imagine this fluid matter to be divided into an infinite number of small streams $AB, BC, CD, DE, \&c.$ and let us consider what course each of these will take about the body. It will appear, that they must bend nearly as is represented in the figure. Let $a, b, c, d, e, f, \&c.$ be the points where these streams begin to return towards the body. Compute the force of these streams to these points $a, b, c, \&c.$ in the direction AO , the sum of all these forces will give the whole resistance. It appears that the first stream AAa bends nearly in a right angle, and therefore its resistance will be $= 2ab$, where a is the section and b the space fallen to acquire the velocity of the stream. The next stream $BCbc$ does not bend so much, and therefore its force will be less, the force of the others $CD, DE, EF, \&c.$ become less as they are more remote from the center A , till it becomes quite imperceptible; whence it appears, that the whole force must be less than the weight of a cylinder of the fluid matter of the same thickness as the body, and height $2b$, where b is the space fallen to acquire the velocity of the body.

What is said of a cylinder, or such a body whose anterior part is a plane impinging on the particles of the fluid at right angles, may be easily applied to the other figures. If the fore-part be not flat, but sloped or sharp, it is evident
the

the resistance will be less than in the foregoing case; for the stream AB will not bend at right angles, and the curvature of those more remote from A will constantly diminish as before. We cannot therefore agree with Mr. Robins as before. We cannot therefore agree with Mr. Robins, when he says, that if the transverse section remain the same, the change of figure will scarcely affect the velocity; and I think it probable, that the resistance which bodies of different figures suffer in a compressed fluid may be determined by the foregoing rule; the only difference is, that the resistance in the present case is much less than in the former, and there is reason to presume, that the resistance of a globe is half that of a cylinder, whose transverse section is equal to a great circle of the globe; and we find, that if we take the weight of a cylinder of the fluid matter of the same thickness as the cylindrical body moving forward in the direction of its axis, and height equal to that a body must fall through to acquire the velocity of the body for the resistance this supposition will agree best with experiments.

R E M A R K IV.

We have hitherto treated of the resistance of the body arising from its stroke against the particles of the fluid; but, as we have already observed, there is a particular circumstance which may increase the resistance, namely, when the fluid matter presses the moving body more on the fore than hind part. So long as the body sustains the same pressure on every part, which happens when the motion is flow, the body suffers no other resistance than what arises from the stroke of the body against the particles of the fluid,
which