

The Chronology of the Formation of the Solar System

<u>Chapter I:</u> From molecular clouds to protostellar cores

<u>Chapter II:</u> The formation of protostellar discs

A. Maury & B. Commercon - Les Houches 2017

Today: Chapter II

<u>Small-scale properties of low-mass Class 0 protostars:</u> <u>constraints on the formation of protoplanetary discs</u>

Questions addressed in this lecture

How does the accretion proceeds onto the central protostellar object ? What are the properties of pristine circumstellar discs ? Anatomy of a typical protostar

Recap



Column density:	$10^{21} m N_{ m H2}/ m cm^2$	 $10^{23} \mathrm{N_{H2}/cm^2}$
Temperature:	5 K	 500 K
Gas mass:	1-5 M ₀	 $< 0.01 \; M_{o}$

Infall and accretion in protostars

Observing the infall

5.0

Identified through asymmetric line profiles \bullet Commonly used: HCO+, CS, H2CO, N2H+



T, (K)

ř

0.5

0.4

0.3 0.2

0.1

-0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2



Caution! Depletion can affect line profiles and infall signature ! HCO⁺(4-3) CS(3-2) 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.8 0.6 € 0.6

0.4 0.6 0.8

0.0 0.2

V/kms

0.4

0.2

0.0 0.2

V/kms

-08 -06 -04 -02

0.4 0.6 0.8



Measuring the infall

- Skewness/asymmetry (e.g. Gregersen+ 97, Mardonnes+ 97): doesn't measure infall rate but can identify infall candidates. No model required.
- PV diagram (e.g. Tobin+ 12, Yen+ 14) : use analytical/RT model and observed PV to constrain balance of infall and other motions



• 1-D RT model to fit line profiles from multiple lines (e.g. Hogerheijde & Sandell 00, Belloche+ 06, Mottram+ 13) => self-consistently constrain fit to 1-D model using line shape and intensity

Infall and accretion in protostars





mass infall rate 3x10⁻⁶ M_o/yr accretion rate 9.6x10⁻⁷ M_o/yr age 5x10⁴ yrs





Tau/Lup/Cha Orion/Cep OB3/Mon R2 Tau/Lup/Cha/Per/Oph 80 25 Number of Protostars Number of Protostars Number of Protostars 5 20 60 16 221 65 4 15 40 3 10 2 20 5 2 3 -3-2-101 2 3 -3-2-101 -3-2-10 2 3 1 $log(L/L_{o})$ log(L/L_o) $log(L/L_{\odot})$

Caution: improved the coverage of the low luminosity tail by extrapolating bolometric luminosity from a well sampled SED in the MIR but lacks FIR data points

Episodic Accretion ?



Offner+ 2011, Dunham+ 2014, Vorobyov+ 2015

Embedded protostars: difficult to observe the accretion bursts directly

Some indirect clues: - periodic shocks observed in protostellar jets - chemical probes of temperature variations

Jorgensen+ (2015), Anderl+ (2015): extent of C180 emission in protostellar envelopes does not follow the expectations from the temperature profile

=> suggests a recent increase in temperature that allowed CO in the gaz phase at larger radii in the recent past

chemistry timescale > cooling down timescale of the gaz would explain why CO is observed where the current temperature is <30K



Infall and accretion in protostars

HOPS 383



Infall and accretion in protostars

HOPS 383x35 in bolometric luminosity



Possible explanation if the increase in submm luminosity is due to an accretion burst:

- no correlation accretion/ejection?

- the ionized material recombines quickly (dense material -> shadowed from the ionizing source) ?



Angular momentum in protostars

 $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{m} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{r}$

core collapses -> rotation amplified by $(r_2/r_1)^2$



Why a disc?

Historically, the existence of discs has been suggested by the existence of the solar system (planar) Nebular hypothesis (Kant, Laplace)





Initial core angular momentum + angular momentum conservation in protostellar collapse

- \rightarrow centrifugal radius $R_c \rightarrow$ material piles up in disc
- $R_c \sim c_s \Omega_0^2 t^3/16$ in traditionally inside-out collapsing core with solid body rotation (Terebey, Shu & Cassen, 1984): increasing with time because in inside-out collapse rarefaction wave moves out.
- R_c~ t in magnetized cores (Basu 1997)

Angular momentum in protostars

Discs are indeed observed towards Class I / T-Tauri young stars



Simon, Dutrey, Guilloteau 2000

How early do we expect discs to form ?

The conservation of angular momentum is effective since the earliest stages of collapse, and a disc should form very quickly (a few 10³ years) after the beginning of collapse.



Simple hydrodynamics predicts the existence of ≥ 100 AU discs at the beginning of the Class 0 phase $(10^4 \text{ yrs after beginning of collapse}).$

How big do we expect discs to be ?

Interferometric measurements of the dust continuum emission at mm wavelengths (Eisner+ 2005, 2012, Jorgensen+ 2009) and mid-IR observations (modeling SEDs: Wolfe+ 2008, Gräfe+ 2013) :

Source		211	2/17.1 1	WIGED	v ²	$M \sim 1$	$R \sim 1$	h1	М	R	f	I	i	PΔ
Source		ωmm	w T band	W SED	(M_{\odot})	(AU)	(AU)	(M_{\odot})	(AU)	Nout	(L_{\odot})	$(^{\circ})$	(°)	1.7
IRAS 04016+2610	А	1	1	1	5.0	0.005	250	0.05	0.10	2000	1	3	35	60
IRAS 04016+2610	В	10	1	1	3.0	0.005	250	0.05	0.10	2000	1	3	40	6
IRAS 04016+2610	С	1	10	1	3.9	0.005	450	0.05	0.05	1000	0.2	10	65	6
IRAS 04016+2610	D	1	1	10	2.8	0.005	450	0.15	0.05	500	0.2	3	35	6
IRAS 04166+2706	А	1	1	1	1.6	0.01	450	0.15	0.10	1000	0.2	1	55	21
IRAS 04166+2706	В	10	1	1	1.4	0.01	450	0.05	0.10	500	0.2	1	50	12
IRAS 04166+2706	С	1	10	1	1.2	0.0	30		0.05	500	0.02	3	60	14
IRAS 04166+2706	D	1	1	10	1.9	0.01	450	0.15	0.10	2000	0.2	1	65	24
IRAS 04169+2702	А	1	1	1	2.1	0.01	450	0.15	0.10	2000	1	1	30	9
IRAS 04169+2702	В	10	1	1	1.6	0.01	250	0.05	0.10	500	0.2	1	35	9
IRAS 04169+2702	С	1	10	1	1.4	0.01	450	0.15	0.10	2000	1	1	30	9
IRAS 04169+2702	D	1	1	10	2.4	0.0	100		0.05	500	0.02	1	55	9
IRAS 04287+1801	А	0.1	1	1	6.6	0.10	250	0.05	0.05	1000	1	10	40	16
IRAS 04287+1801	В	0.5	1	1	8.1	0.50	450	0.05	0.10	1000	0.2	3	40	18
IRAS 04287+1801	С	0.1	10	1	2.8	0.10	100	0.05	0.10	2000	0.2	10	50	16
IRAS 04287+1801 ^a	D	1	1	10	9.0	0.50	450	0.05	0.01	2000	0.2	10	50	16
IRAS 04295+2251	А	1	1	1	3.3	0.01	100	0.05	0.05	1000	0.2	1	45	30
IRAS 04295+2251	В	10	1	1	2.2	0.01	100	0.05	0.05	500	0.2	1	45	30
IRAS 04295+2251	С	1	10	1	1.9	0.01	30	0.05	0.005	500	0.2	1	50	30
IRAS 04295+2251	D	1	1	10	1.9	0.01	30	0.05	0.005	500	1	1	55	30
IRAS 04302+2247	А	1	1	1	3.8	0.01	250	0.05	0.05	500	0.2	1	70	1
IRAS 04302+2247	В	10	1	1	3.0	0.01	250	0.05	0.10	500	0.2	1	75	1
IRAS 04302+2247	С	1	10	1	2.2	0.005	100	0.15	0.005	500	1	1	89	1
IRAS 04302+2247	D	1	1	10	3.7	0.01	250	0.15	0.05	2000	0.2	1	70	
IRAS 04361+2547	А	1	1	1	4.8	0.005	30	0.15	0.005	500	1	3	55	31
IRAS 04361+2547	В	10	1	1	2.6	0.01	450	0.15	0.01	500	1	3	45	28
IRAS 04361+2547	С	1	10	1	2.1	0.005	100	0.15	0.01	500	1	3	45	28
IRAS 04361+2547	D	1	1	10	5.5	0.005	30	0.15	0.005	500	1	3	55	3
IRAS 04365+2535	Α	1	1	1	1.3	0.005	100	0.05	0.05	500	0.2	1	25	3
IRAS 04365+2535	В	10	1	1	1.3	0.005	100	0.15	0.10	1000	1	1	25	3
IRAS 04365+2535	С	1	10	1	1.1	0.005	100	0.05	0.05	500	0.2	1	25	3
IRAS 04365+2535	D	1	1	10	1.5	0.005	100	0.05	0.05	500	0.2	1	25	3

median size 250 AU and masses ranging from 0.01 to 0.5 M_☉ at Class I stage Ophiuchus

Taurus











Discs at the Class 0 stage ?

The conservation of angular momentum is effective since the earliest stages of collapse, and a disc should form very quickly (a few 10³ years) after the beginning of collapse.

Some angular momentum is removed during the Class 0 phase via the ejection processes (high-velocity jet mainly), but not enough to prevent the formation of a disc + a disc seems mandatory to launch the jet

Simple hydrodynamics predicts the existence of ≥ 100 AU discs at the beginning of the Class 0 phase (10^4 yrs after beginning of collapse).



How to seek for discs at the Class 0 stage ?

Class 0 protostars: $d \ge 150$ pc + emit most of radiation in the submm/mm range + envelope sizes of ~10.000AU \Rightarrow Need for interferometry to dig into the envelope and search for the predicted ~ 100-500 AU discs.



Pb: massive, cold envelope overwhelms the disc → how to differentiate the embedded disc from its cocoon ? 1. looking at longest baselines (interferometric filtering + FT) 2. using line emission for rotation signature: kinematic proof of rotationally supported disc



CALYPSO IRAM-PdBI survey: 16 Class 0 protostars



Maury et al (2010, 2014, in prep.) Maret et al. (2014) Codella et al. (2014) Santangelo et al. (2015) Anderl et al. (2016) Podio et al. (2016)

CALYPSO: dust continuum maps









CALYPSO: dust continuum analysis

Why bother with analytical description of envelope and disc ?



Because what looks like a disc





The CALYPSO view of IRAS2A

PROSAC : a survey with SMA Jorgensen et al. (2007, 2009) Disc is invoked to explain flux observed at scales ~1-3"- which is higher than what expected from classical envelope models









The CALYPSO view of IRAS2A

PV diagrams along axis PA 107°: no clear signature of rotation.

But first order moment (red points) suggests presence of a velocity gradient

Observed methanol velocity dispersion at r~45 AU: can be reproduced by infalling, slowly rotating envelope around a central protostar ~ 0.1 - 0.2 M_☉

Synthetic PV for dynamic masses of 0.01M_☉, 0.05M_☉ and 0.1M_☉ in keplerian rotation. PV diagram and linewidths: not consistent with a model of a keplerian disc

If a disc is present it must have r<45 AU

Maret et al. 2014 - Maury et al. 2014

Discs around Class 0 protostars



The CALYPSO view on Class 0 discs: statistics



Among 14 Class 0 protostars at d<300 pc: 1 detection of r>100 AU disc-candidate structure 2 sources w/ additional continuum emission at ~50 AU

i.e. at most 25% of Class 0 protostars with continuum disc-like structures at r>50-100 AU

VANDAM VLA survey



6 Class 0 protostars: all with $r_{disc} < 15-30 \text{ AU}$

And several other individual studies find also small upper-limit for disc sizes in the youngest protostars

			-	
Source	q	γ	R_c	$\chi^2_{ m reduced}$
			(AU)	
SVS13B	0.25	$0.21^{+0.23}_{-0.20}$	$24.28^{+2.1}_{-1.7}$	2.194
	0.50	$0.42_{-0.21}^{+0.25}$	$25.50^{+1.9}_{-1.5}$	2.185
	0.75	$0.63^{+0.24}_{-0.22}$	$26.46^{+1.6}_{-1.4}$	2.175
	1.00	$0.85_{-0.23}^{+0.26}$	$27.28^{+1.4}_{-1.2}$	2.164
Per-emb-50	0.25	$0.08^{+0.02}_{-0.16}$	$21.9^{+0.8}_{-0.9}$	1.556
	0.50	$0.26^{+0.15}_{-0.17}$	$23.3^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$	1.558
	0.75	$0.44_{-0.17}^{+0.16}$	$24.6^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$	1.560
	1.00	$0.64_{-0.18}^{+0.16}$	$25.7^{+1.4}_{-1.3}$	1.563
Per-emb-14	0.25	$-0.11^{+0.16}_{-0.00}$	$28.5^{+2.3}_{-2.1}$	1.110
	0.50	$0.09^{+0.08}_{-0.21}$	$30.6^{+\bar{2}.\bar{8}}_{-2.3}$	1.114
	0.75	$0.27_{-0.24}^{+0.17}$	$32.5_{-2.8}^{+\overline{2}.\overline{2}}$	1.119
	1.00	$0.48^{+0.19}_{-0.23}$	$33.9^{+3.6}_{-3.1}$	1.123
Per-emb-30	0.25	$0.02^{+0.18}_{-0.31}$	$14.0^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$	1.100
	0.50	$0.20\substack{+0.04\\-0.32}$	$14.9^{+1.9}_{-1.1}$	1.102
	0.75	$0.39\substack{+0.30\\-0.34}$	$15.8^{+1.9}_{-1.3}$	1.104
	1.00	$0.59^{+0.14}_{-0.33}$	$16.5^{+31.3}_{-1.6}$	1.107
HH211-mms	0.25	$0.48^{+0.40}_{-0.78}$	$10.5^{+0.8}_{-0.8}$	1.009
	0.50	$0.65\substack{+0.43\\-0.82}$	$11.0^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$	1.009
	0.75	$0.81\substack{+0.42 \\ -0.79}$	$11.5^{+1.2}_{-1.2}$	1.009
	1.00	$1.01^{+0.44}_{-0.81}$	$11.9^{+1.4}_{-1.3}$	1.009
IC348 MMS	0.25	$-0.58^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$	$25.7^{+2.8}_{-2.2}$	1.085
	0.50	$-0.39^{+0.19}_{-0.11}$	$29.0^{+3.2}_{-2.6}$	1.096
	0.75	$-0.19^{+0.11}_{-0.27}$	$31.6^{+4.1}_{-2.9}$	1.107
	1.00	$0.02^{+0.07}_{-0.11}$	$33.7^{+4.3}_{-3.1}$	1.118
Per-emb-8	0.25	$0.01^{+0.16}_{-0.19}$	$19.0^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$	1.099
	0.50	$0.20^{+0.17}_{-0.20}$	$20.2^{+1.4}_{-1.3}$	1.107
	0.75	$0.40^{+0.17}_{-0.21}$	$21.2^{+1.6}_{-1.4}$	1.114
	1.00	$0.61^{+0.17}_{-0.20}$	$22.1^{+1.8}_{-1.6}$	1.122

Segura-Cox+ (2016)

CALYPSO: angular momentum conservation in Class 0 protostars ?

< 25% of Class 0 might harbor continuum disc-like structures at r>50-100 AU



To disentangle envelope kinematics from disc kinematics: a multi-scale approach is necessary to analyze correctly the rotation and infall patterns



Combined analysis of molecular tracers from the IRAM-30m (500 -50000 AU scales) and the IRAM-PdBI CALYPSO survey (50-1000 AU scales)

Gaudel, Maury, Belloche (in prep.)

CALYPSO: disc statistics



Challenging the long-standing solution for AM problem & the standard star/disc formation scenario

Do we detect keplerian rotation inside Class 0 envelopes ?



HH212:

rotation detected in C170 (Codella+ 2014, ALMA) consistent with both envelope rotation or Keplerian rotation



Do we detect keplerian rotation inside Class 0 envelopes ?



Do we detect keplerian rotation inside Class 0 envelopes ?



CALYPSO sample of 16 Class 0 protostars:

1 clear keplerian detection at r \sim 60 AU

+

2 velocity gradients consistent with keplerian rotation at r~40 AU (also disk candidates from the continuum analysis)

+

13 Class 0 without detected keplerian motions at radii>50 AU

(Maret et CALYPSO in prep.) preliminary results

Class 0 discs/ inner envelope properties: grain growth already !





Class 0 discs/ inner envelope properties: chemical complexity !



Complex organic chemistry primarily found in region r < 50 AU (Maury+ 2014): mostly consistent with snow-line from protostellar luminosity but still some misfits

Belloche+ 2017 (in prep) : some hint of evolutionary trend (most rich in more evolved sources with young discs developed)



Miotello+ (2012)



Aso+ (2015)



Note the recent ALMA survey of disks in Lupus (Ansdell+ 2016, Manara+ 2016, Miotello+ 2017): a population of small / low mass disks ...

Discs around Class I protostars



/									
Source	$L_{bol} \ (L_{\odot})$	T_{bol} (K)	$L_{bol}/L_{\rm submm}^{\rm a}$	$R_{\rm kep} \ ({\rm AU})^{\rm b}$	$M_* (M_{\odot})^{\rm c}$	$M_{\rm disk}~(M_{\odot})^{\rm d}$	Class		
R CrA IRS7B	4.6	89		50	1.7	0.024	Ι	no	4
L1551 NE	4.2	91	111	300	0.8	0.026	Ι	yes	$5,\!14,\!17$
L1551 IRS 5	22.1	94	107	64	0.5	0.07	Ι	yes	$6,\!12,\!16$
TMC1	0.9	101	114	100 ⁱ	0.54	0.025-0.06	Ι	no	$7,\!12,\!16$
TMC-1A	2.7	118	143	100	0.68	2.5×10^{-3}	Ι	yes	8,12,16
TMR1	2.6	140	734	50	0.7	0.01-0.015	Ι	no	$7,\!16$
L1489 IRS	3.7	238	259	700	1.6	$3-7 \times 10^{-3}$	Ι	yes	9,15,16
L1536	0.4	270		80	0.4	0.07-0.024	Ι	no	$7,\!12$
Elias 29	14.1	299	4215	200	2.5	$\lesssim 7\times 10^{-3}$	Ι	no	$10,\!12,\!16$
IRS 43	6.0	310		140	1.0	8.1×10^{-3}	Ι	no	$11,\!15$
IRS 63	1.0	327	33	100	0.37	0.055	Ι	no	10,12,16

The ISM is magnetized



Measurements of polarized dust emission toward the highmass star-forming region Orion. Houde et al. (2004)



Measurements of polarized dust emission toward the lowmass star-forming region Taurus. Goldsmith et al. (2008)

ISM Component	B _{total} (μG)				
diffuse ionized medium (synchrotron equipartition, RMs)	7 ± 3				
H I clouds (H I Zeeman)	6.0±1.8 (λ ~ 0.1)				
molecular clouds (OH, CN Zeeman)	10 – 3,000+ _(λ_c ~ 1)				
See also Falgarone et al. (2008) for Zeeman					

measurements in star-forming dense cores.

Role of magnetic fields ?

Bimodal distribution of filament vs. B-field orientations (see also H.-b. Li+2013



Musca filament: M/L ~ 20 M_o/pc N. Cox et al. 2015



Polarization vectors overlaid on *Herschel* images

Pereyra & Magelhaes 2004 Taurus B211 filament: M/L ~ 50 M_☉/pc P. Palmeirim et al. 2013

+ Planck polar. results J. Soler et al.)



Protostars are often embedded in MC magnetic fields

TADPOL survey



1 mm polarization at CARMA in 13 Class 0 protostars.

Dust polarization maps with \sim 0.005pc angular resolution

Hull et al. (2013, 2014)



SMA studies

0.8 mm polarization at SMA in IRAS16293.

Dust polarization map with \sim 200AU angular resolution Girart, Rao, Marrone Science (2006) Rao et al. (2014)

What is magnetic braking ?

Rotation motions generate Alfven torsional waves that can transport the angular momentum to the outer parts of the contracting cloud. Magnetic braking is more effective perpendicular to field lines



Magnetic braking happens when the field is sufficiently twisted due to the different rotation velocities between the infalling envelope and the central «disc» region.

This happens only if the envelope is a lot more massive than the central «disc» region (centrifugal radius, remember!).

Magnetic braking allows to redistribute the angular momentum from the inner infalling envelope to the outer parts of the envelope, and therefore delays the formation of a large rotationally-supported disc.

(Gillis et al. 74,79, Mouschovias & Paleologou 79,80, Basu & Mouschovias 95)

Comparison of Class 0 observations to numerical simulations of star formation



Comparison with numerical simulations of SF :

- favor magnetic models of protostellar formation because no large rotationally-supported structure.
- note the presence of a pseudo-disc in the magnetized model ...

A summary

• PROTOSTELLAR CLASSES & LIFETIMES

Herschel statistics : a fast main accretion phase (consistent with André+ 2000) < 10^5 yrs

* ENVELOPE INFALL & ACCRETION RATES

Possibly luminosity problem could be reduced by new Herschel statistics and/or ALMA providing us direct constraints on kinematics in the accretion zone Episodic accretion proposed as a solution to luminositty problem - and to be tested further

* CONSERVATION OF ANGULAR MOMENTUM ?

Multi-scale analysis over 3 orders of magnitude are needed to assess protostar's angular momentum Problem still unsolved: maybe magnetized collapse will help ?

* The quest for the youngest disks

Class I discs: some good constraints reveal larger scatter in properties than previously thought Class 0 discs are mostly small (<50 au) : low-end of size distribution still to be probed

* **PROTOSTELLAR MULTIPLICITY FRACTION**

Large surveys are coming showing some fragmentation at large (and small?) scales Problem of source nature at subarcsecond scales: more versatile ALMA observations will help

* MAGNETIZED CORE COLLAPSE ?

Lack of large disk and need to solve angular momentum problem + observations of magnetized cores role of magnetic field to regulate the protostellar accretion has to be investigated

Testing the magnetically regulated scenario: Probe magnetic fields Search for small MHD discs : density and kinematics at 10-50 AU scales Investigate kinematics in young protostars: what about angular momentum ?

NOEMA & ALMA !





ALMA Specifications

- 54 12-m antennas, 12 7-m antennas, at 5000m site
- Surface accuracy <25 $\mu\text{m},$ 0.6" reference pointing in 9m/s wind,
 - 2" absolute pointing all-sky
- Array configurations between 150m and ~15-18km
- Angular resolutions ~40mas at 100 GHz (5mas at 900GHz)
- 10 bands in 31-950 GHz + 183 GHz WVR.
- 8 GHz BW, dual polarization.
- Interferometry, mosaicing & total-power observing.
- Correlator: 4096 channels/IF (multi-IF), full Stokes.
- Data rate: 6MB/s average; peak 64 MB/s.
- All data archived (raw + images), pipeline processing.
- ALMA improves
 - Sensitivity: 100x
 - Spatial Resolution: up to 100x
 - Wavelength Coverage: ~2x
 - Bandwidth: ~2x
 - Scientific discovery parameter space is greatly expanded!



- A fast instrument 🛩 surveys become possible
- A sensitive instrument \rightleftharpoons weak lines, faint objects become accessible
- High angular resolution \rightleftharpoons details of star formation
- Wide field imaging with ACA 🛹 from large to small scales
- Wide frequency coverage 🛩 wide range of physical conditions can be adressed
- Polarisation
- But a large survey, at high angular resolution, in full polarisation, over arcmin scales, in several lines, would take forever
 Choices will have to be made



Wyatt 2???











