PORQUEROLLES 2010

Basic of --small-scales statistical properties in--Turbulence

Luca Biferale Dept. of Physics, University of Rome "Tor Vergata" INFN & ICTR biferale@roma2.infn.it











•A successful story: how to describe the appearance of small-scales non-Gaussian statistics using a simple phenomenology based on stochastic cascade models: large deviations theory, multifractal measures, multiaffine functions and all that.... (still: a few problems if looking at high quality numerical and experimental data)

•A less successful story: how to include statistics of (inertial) particles advected by the flow: the problem of preferential concentration and of the inclusions of "topological" properties in the stochastic modelization/

Leonardo da Vinci (~ 1500): "doue laturbolenza dellacqua <u>sigenera</u>; doue la turbolenza dellaqa <u>simantiene</u> plugho; doue laturbolenza dellaqau <u>siposa</u>"

R.P. Feynman (1970): "Certainly. I've spent years trying to solve some difficult problems without success. The theory of turbulence is one. In fact, <u>it is still unsolved</u>."

J. Von Neumann (1949) "[...] The entire experience with the subject indicates that the purely analytical approach is <u>beset with difficulties</u>, which at the moment are prohibitive. [...] Under these conditions there may be some hope to "<u>break the deadlock</u>" by extensive, but well-planned computational efforts.

Sir H. Lamb (1932): "I am an old man now, and when I die and go to Heaven there are two matters on which I hope enlightenment. One is quantum electrodynamics (QED) and the other is turbulence of fluids. About the former, I am really rather optimistic."

•Turbulence or Turbulences?

•Why still unsolved/unsolvable: the problem of strongly non-Gaussian small-scales fluctuations.

- •Large Deviations Theory & Stochastic models for 3d Homogeneous and Isotropic Turbulence (HIT).
- •Toward real world (I): effects of viscosity.
- •Toward real world (II): anisotropy.
- •Toward real world (III): Turbulence + passive particles (tracers, heavy, light).

Occam's razor: "entia non sunt multiplicanda praeter necessitatem " (entities must not be multiplied beyond necessity).

COMPLEX PHYSICS WITH COMPLEX FLOWS

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial_t v + v \cdot \partial v}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial P + v \partial^2 v}{dt} + F(B,B) + g\theta + \sum_i c_0(u_i,v)\delta(r-r_i) + f \\ \frac{\partial_t \theta + v \cdot \partial \theta}{dt} = \chi \partial^2 \theta & \text{temperature} \\ \frac{\partial_t B + v \cdot \partial B}{dt} = B \cdot \partial v + \chi \partial^2 B & \text{magnetic field} \\ \Delta P = -\partial_i \partial_j v_i v_j \\ + \text{boundary conditions} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du_i(r_i,t)}{dt} = -\rho_f |u_i - v|(u_i - v) \\ +\rho_f(\frac{Dv}{Dt} - \frac{Du_i}{Dt}) + (u_i - v) \times \omega \end{cases}$$

$$= \frac{bubble}{tracer} \frac{tracer}{tracer} \frac{tracer}{tracer} \frac{tracer}{tracer} \\ tracer tracet tracet$$

Flows with additives:

Advection-diffusion-reaction of passive scalar/vectors (temperature, magnetic field, chemical reactions, etc...).

Advection-diffusion of active scalars/vectors (convection, magnetic dinamo).

Polymers (drag reduction)

Bubbles/Droplets (two phase flows, rain formation, etc...)

Swimmers (cooperative hydrodynamical interactions)

COMPLEX PHYSICS WITH SIMPLE FLOWS

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + v \cdot \partial v = -\partial P + \nu \partial^2 v \\ \Delta P = -\partial_i \partial_j v_i v_j \\ + \text{ periodic boundary conditions} \end{cases}$$



3D CASE: MAINLY UNSOLVED!

COMPLEX PHYSICS WITH SIMPLE FLOWS $\partial_{\hat{t}}\hat{v} + \hat{v} \cdot \partial \hat{v} = -\hat{\partial}\hat{P} + \frac{1}{Re}\hat{\partial}^{2}\hat{v}$ $\begin{cases} \hat{t} = t/t_{0} \\ \hat{x} = x/l_{0} \\ \hat{v} = v/v_{0} \end{cases}$ $Re \sim \frac{v\partial v}{\nu\partial^{2}v}$ $Re = \frac{l_{0}v_{0}}{\nu}$ Rendarrow Water and the second state of the sec



•Fully Developed Turbulence:

1. Strongly non-linear & non-perturbative system

COMPLEX PHYSICS WITH SIMPLE FLOWS

$$\partial_{\hat{t}}\hat{v} + \hat{v}\cdot\partial\hat{v} = -\hat{\partial}\hat{P} + rac{1}{Re}\hat{\partial}^{2}\hat{v}$$

$$Re \to \infty$$



2. Out of Equilibrium (non perturbative)•Dissipative anomaly



20

30

40

COMPLEX PHYSICS WITH SIMPLE FLOWS

THE ENERGY CASCADE:



 $k_{forc} \ll k \ll k_{diss}(Re) \qquad \frac{1}{2}$

inertial range of scales: power law (anomalous)extension increases with Reynolds!

4. Many-body problem:
$$\#_{dof} = (\frac{k_{diss}}{k_{forc}})^3 \sim Re^{9/4}$$

Numbers.









astrophys. flow		
Re	>	10^{15}

 $\#_{dof} \sim \infty$

state-of-the-art DNS:

Isotropic, homogeneous Fully Periodic Flows Pseudo-Spectral Methods. Resolution 4096x4096x4096 (Earth Sim.)

Reynolds : 10⁶, Storage of 1 velocity configuration (float): 1 Tbyte RAM requirements for time marching: 10 Tbyte

Moral: easy to saturate any computing power (present and/or future)



CONNECTION CUMULANTS -- STRUCTURE FUNCTIONS

$$S_p(r) = \langle (\delta_r v)^p \rangle$$
 $\kappa_n(r) = \langle (\log|\delta_r v|)^n \rangle$



INTERMITTENCY



spatio-temporal Richardson cascade



energy transfer in 3d turbulence what do we know from analytical results



Scaling invariance in the Inertial Range

Third order longitudinal structure functions:

$$S_{3}(r) = \langle (\hat{r} \cdot \delta_{r} v)^{3} \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow h = \frac{1}{3}$$
Howart-von Karman: EXACT FROM NAVIER-STOKES EQS.

 $\delta_r v \sim r^h$ $E(k) \sim k^{-2h-1}$





in log-log all cows are black!



8

25-25

158

0613

3-10

-

1.3

[7]

14

630

240-530

35-110

A. Arneodo et al., Europhys. Lett. 34, 411 (1996).

100-250 µm

0.19 mm

22-38 µm

55

15

7

grid

jet.

gtid

17 cm

4-8 cm

4 mm-1 cm

 $\sim r^{\zeta(p)}$



- 1. small-scales are intermittent (neq k41)
- 2. power-law behaviour in the inertial range



Eulerian Multifractal Formalism and Large Deviations Theory

The "Standard Model" at Re= ∞ $S_p(r) = \langle [v(x+r) - v(x)]^p \rangle \quad \eta \ll r \ll L_0$

$$\begin{split} \delta_{r}v \sim v_{0}(\frac{r}{L_{0}})^{h} \quad \mathcal{P}_{h}(r) \sim (\frac{r}{L_{0}})^{3-D(h)} \\ S_{p}(r) &= \langle (\delta_{r}v)^{p} \rangle \sim \langle v_{0}^{p} \rangle \int_{I} dh \left(\frac{r}{L_{0}}\right)^{hp+3-D(h)} \\ S_{p}(r) \sim \left(\frac{r}{L_{0}}\right)^{\zeta_{p}} \\ \end{split}$$
 Parisi-Frisch 1983

$$egin{aligned} &\zeta_p = \inf_h \left(hp + 3 - D(h)
ight) \ &\mathcal{F}_{2p}(r) = r^{\zeta(2p) - p\zeta(2)} \end{aligned}$$



What about PDFs?

$$\delta_r v \sim v_0 (\frac{r}{L})^h$$

Experimental results tell us PDF at large scale is close to Gaussian $\mathcal{P}(v_0) \sim \exp(v_0^2/2)$ $\mathcal{P}(\delta_r v) \sim \int dh dv_0 \mathcal{P}(v_0) \mathcal{P}_r(h)$ $P(\delta_r v) \sim \int dh \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{3-h-D(h)} \exp(-\frac{(\delta_r v)^2}{2(r/L)^{2h}}) \checkmark$



How to derive D(h) from the equation of motion? Physical intuition of D(h): the result of a random energy cascade



$$u_n \sim a(n, n-1)u_{n-1}$$

 $u_n = 2^{-h_n}u_{n-1}$ $h_n = -log_2(u_n/u_{n-1})$

locality of interactions among neighboring scales \rightarrow multipliers almost uncorrelated \rightarrow small scales universality



! Scaling is recovered in a statistical sense, no local scaling properties !

$$n \to \infty$$

[Cramer function]: non-negative definined, with a minimum for

S(h)

large number law $S(h = \langle h \rangle) = 0$ (sum- of n independent random variables tends to its mean value with probability one, when n -> infty) $\langle h
angle = lim_{n
ightarrow \infty} rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0,n} h_i$ S(h) $S(h) \sim (h - \langle h \rangle)^2$ central limit theorem (small deviations from the mean are normally distributed) $\langle h \rangle$ h

•ONLY SMALL DEVIATIONS AROUND THE MEAN ARE NORMALLY DISTRIBUTED: NO REASON FOR S(h) TO BE QUADRATIC FOR ALL h!!!! : NO REASON FOR THE PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION OF $\delta_r v$ to be log-normal



Cramer function as the characteristic functions of random multipliers

$$Z(\beta) = \langle e^{-\beta h} \rangle$$

if i.i.d.
$$Z^{n}(\beta) = \langle e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1,n} h_{i}} \rangle$$
$$e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1,n} h_{i}} \rangle = \int dh e^{-n(\beta h - S(h))}$$
$$Z^{n}(\beta) \sim e^{n \max_{h} [S(h) - \beta h]}$$
$$\begin{cases} \log Z(\beta) = \max_{\beta} [S(h) - \beta h] \\ S(h) = \min_{\beta} [\log Z(\beta) + \beta h] \end{cases}$$

example:

coin tossing

$$\begin{split} S(h) &= -hlog(h) - (1-h)log(1-h) - log(2) \\ 0 &\leq h \leq 1 \end{split}$$

$$u_n \sim \left(\frac{r_n}{L}\right)^h u_0 \qquad P_{r_n}(h) \sim \left(\frac{r_n}{L}\right)^{S(h)}$$
$$\langle u_n^p \rangle = \int dh P_{r_n}(h) u_n^p$$
$$\langle u_n^p \rangle \sim u_0^p \int dh \left(\frac{r_n}{L}\right)^{hp+S(h)}$$

•intermittency: beating between power-law observables and power law distribution functions

$$egin{aligned} \langle u_n^p
angle &= \int dh P(h) u_n^p \sim (rac{r_n}{L})^{\zeta(p)} \ \zeta(p) &= \min_h (ph+S(h)) \ &3-D(h) = S(h) \end{aligned}$$

shortcomings of log-normal



 $d\zeta(p)/dp < 0 o \delta_r v \sim r^h \qquad h < 0$ [supersonic events!]

violation of Novikov inequality if applied to energy dissipation statistics

How to build a multiaffine field with prescribed scaling lawsHow to distinguish synthetic and real fields



Richardson cascade: random multiplicative process





Multiplicative uncorrelated structure

$$\langle |\alpha_{j,k}|^p \rangle = \langle A^p \rangle \langle |\alpha_{j-1,k}|^p \rangle = 2^{j \log_2(\langle A^p \rangle)} \langle |\alpha_{0,0}|^p \rangle$$

$$\langle |v(x+r) - v(x)|^p \rangle \sim r^{\xi(p)}$$
$$\xi(p) = -\frac{1}{2}p - \log_2(\langle A^p \rangle)$$

$$S_2(r) = \langle \Sigma_{j,k}(\alpha_{j,k} 2^{j/2} (\psi(2^j x + 2^j r - k) - \psi(2^j x - k)))^2 \rangle$$

+ Spatial Ergodicity

$$S_{2}(r) = \sum_{j,k} 2^{j} \langle \alpha_{j,k}^{2} \rangle \langle (\psi(2^{j}x + 2^{j}r - k) - \psi(2^{j}x - k))^{2} \rangle$$

$$G_{2}(r) = \int dx (\psi(x + r) - \psi(x))^{2} \qquad S_{2}(r) = \sum_{j} 2^{j} \langle \alpha_{j,k}^{2} \rangle G_{2}(2^{j}r)$$

$$S_{2}(2r) = \sum_{j} 2^{j} \langle \alpha_{j,k}^{2} \rangle G_{2}(2^{j+1}r) = \sum_{j} 2^{j(1 + \log_{2}(\langle A^{2} \rangle))} G_{2}(2^{j+1}r)$$

$$S_{2}(2r) = 2^{-(1 + \log_{2}(\langle A^{2} \rangle))} \sum_{j} 2^{(j+1)(\log_{2}(\langle A^{2} \rangle + 1))} G_{2}(2^{j+1}r) = 2^{-(1 + \log_{2}(\langle A^{2} \rangle))} S(r)$$

•Benzi et al. Physica D (1993) vol. 65 (4) pp. 352-358





CONNECTION CUMULANTS -- STRUCTURE FUNCTIONS


Toward real world (I): finite Reynolds effects.





• Statistics of gradients highly non trivial

$$s = rac{\delta_\eta v}{\eta}$$
 $s = v_0 \eta^{h-1} / L^h$
 $\mathcal{P}(s) = \int dh dv_0 \mathcal{P}(v_0) \mathcal{P}_\eta(h)$

$$y(h) = \frac{4 - [h + D(h)]}{2}$$



real world: High Resolution DNS



REMOVING FOCUS ON PURE POWER LAW:

TYPICALLY NEVER OBSERVED IN DNS OR CONTROLLED LABORATORY EXPERIMENTS (MODERATE REYNOLDS NUMBERS)

AT HIGH REYNOLDS NUMBERS (ABL, SOLAR WIND ETC..) CONTAMINATION FROM ANOSOTROPIES OR/AND NON-HOMOGENEITIES (DIFFICULT TO CONTROL)

IN PRESENCE OF FINITE INERTIAL RANGE EXTENSION: WHAT TO CONTROL? HOW TO TEST QUANTITATIVELY INFLUENCE/IMPORTANCE OF VISCOUS AND INTEGRAL

SCALES?



HOW TO CHECK D(h) QUANTITATIVELY CONSIDERING THE NATURAL LIMITATIONS IN THE INERTIAL RANGE EXTENSIONS?

LOOK FOR THE EFFECTS OF VISCOUS SCALES. THE SO-CALLED: INTERMEDIATE DISSIPATIVE RANGE

AND TRY TO TEST MULTIFRACTAL/LDT PREDICTION ALSO ON THIS EXTENDED RANGE OF SCALES



BATCHELOR-MENEVEAU PARAMETRISATION

EULERIAN

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{r}\mathbf{u} &= [\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})] \\
\delta_{r}u_{L} &= [\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \\
\delta_{r}u_{L} &= [\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \\
\delta_{r}u_{T} &= [\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \\
S_{L,T}^{(p,q)}(r) &= \langle (\delta_{r}u_{L})^{p} (\delta_{r}u_{T})^{q} \rangle \end{aligned}$$
2nd

$$\begin{cases}
S_{L,T}^{(2,0)}(r) &= S_{L}^{(2)}(r) \\
S_{L,T}^{(0,2)}(r) &= S_{T}^{(2)}(r)
\end{cases}^{3rd} \begin{cases}
S_{L,T}^{(1,2)}(r) \\
S_{L,T}^{(3,0)}(r) &= S_{L}^{(3)}(r) \\
S_{L,T}^{(3,0)}(r) &= S_{L}^{(3)}(r)
\end{cases}$$
4th: $S_{L,T}^{(2,2)}(r) \quad S_{L,T}^{(0,4)}(r) &= S_{T}^{(4)}(r) \quad S_{L,T}^{(4,0)}(r) &= S_{L}^{(4)}(r)
\end{aligned}$

EULERIAN STATISTICS: LONGITUDINAL VS TRANSVERSE

$S_L^{(p)}(r) = \langle (\delta_r u_L)^p \rangle$	$S_T^{(p)}(r) = \langle (\delta_r u_T)^p angle$
$\zeta_L(p,r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} rac{d \log \langle (\delta_r u_L)^p \rangle}{d \log r}$	$\zeta_T(p,r) \stackrel{DEF}{=} rac{d \log \langle (\delta_r u_T)^p angle}{d \log r}$

LOCAL SLOPES: LONGITUDINAL AND TRANSVERSE:



Benzi et al , JFM, 653, p 221 (2010)

LONGITUDINAL AND TRANSVERSE SCALE DIFFERENTLY !

$$\delta_{r}v \sim v_{0} \frac{r}{\left[\left(\frac{\eta(h)}{L_{0}}\right)^{\alpha} + \left(\frac{r}{L_{0}}\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{(1-h/)\alpha}} \quad \mathcal{P}_{r}(h) = \left(\frac{(\eta(h)}{L_{0}}\right)^{\alpha} + \left(\frac{r}{L_{0}}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{3-D(h)}{\alpha}}}{S_{p}(r)} = \int dh (\delta_{r}v)^{p} P_{r}(h) \qquad \begin{cases} D_{L}(h) \\ D_{T}(h) \end{cases}$$

$$= \int dh (\delta_{r}v)^{p} P_{r}(h) \qquad \begin{cases} D_{L}(h) \\ D_{T}(h) \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{2}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} & \frac{1}{\sigma} \\ \frac{$$

- 1. Growth of fluctuations by decreasing scale/increasing Reynolds
- 2. Multi-Step Energy Transfer (cascade)
- 3. Multiplicative Stochastic Processes
- 4. Large Deviations Theory <-> Intermittency
- 5 Multiaffine Fields/Multifractal Measures
- 6. Fluctuating viscous effects
- 7. Non-trivial geometrical effects (longitudinal vs transverse)

•Toward real world (II): anisotropy.

$$\partial_t v + v \cdot \partial v = -\partial P + \nu \partial^2 v + f$$

 $\partial \cdot v = 0$
+ boundary conditions

Kinematics + Dissipation are invariant under Rotation+Translation
 Non-universal statistical behaviour <-> Anisotropy
 Small scales vs large scales







Turbulent jet

3d Convective Cell

Shear Flow

I. Arad, V. L'Vov I. Procaccia PRE 59, 6753 (1999). Arad et al. PRL 82, 5040 (1999) Arad et al. PRL 81, 5330 (1998).

$$S_n^{\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n}(\mathbf{r}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \delta v^{\alpha_1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r}, t) \cdots \delta v^{\alpha_n}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r}, t) \rangle ,$$

$$\delta \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r}, t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}, t) - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) ,$$

3d rotation $x'_{lpha}=\Lambda_{lpha,eta}x_{eta}$

Decomposition in terms of (irreducible) invariant subset -labelled by q,j=0,1,2,...

Set of 3n*(2j+1) Eigenfunctions of group of rotations in 3d: $B^{lpha_1...lpha_n}_{q,jm}({f r})$

 $S_n^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n}(r) = {}^{\text{n-rank tensor which depends}} = \sum_{qjm} S_{qjm}(r) B_{qjm}^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n}(\hat{r}) \;.$

The simplest set of O-rank tensor (SCALAR) observable: Longitudinal Structure Functions



FIGURE 4. Graphical representation of spherical harmonics $(\sigma) |Y^{20}(\theta, \phi)|$, $(b) |Y^{21}(\theta, \phi)|$ and $(c) |Y^{22}(\theta, \phi)|$.

FOLIATION !!!

$$\partial_{t} v + v \cdot \partial v = -\partial P + \nu \partial^{2} v + f$$

$$\partial_{t} v_{i} + \Gamma_{ijk}(v_{j}v_{k}) - \nu \Delta v_{i} = f_{i}$$

$$\partial_{t} S^{n} + \Gamma^{n+1} S^{n+1} - \nu D^{n} S^{n} = \langle \delta f_{1} \delta v_{2} \cdots \delta v_{n-1} \rangle + perm.$$

rotational invariant operator

$$\int_{r << L_{f}}$$

$$f_{r} << L_{f}$$

$$f_{r} << L_{f}$$

$$f_{r} << L_{f}$$

$$f_{r} << L_{f}$$

$$\int_{r << L_{f}}$$

$$f_{r} << L_{f}$$

$$\int_{r << L_{f}}$$

$$\int_{$$



$$\mathcal{S}_{jqm}^{(n)}(r) \sim A_{jmq} (\frac{r}{L})^{\xi_n^j}$$

Working Hypothesis

 projection on each sector has a universal scaling exponent, depending on that sector only.

•Dependency on large scale physics shows up only in prefactors

•Pure power laws only in each separeted sector:

$$S^{(n)}(\mathbf{r}) \sim \sum_{j} A_{j} (\frac{r}{L})^{\zeta_{n}^{j}} \longrightarrow S^{(n)}(\mathbf{r}) \sim A_{0} (\frac{r}{L})^{\zeta_{n}^{0}} + A_{1} (\frac{r}{L})^{\zeta_{n}^{1}} + \cdots$$

$$S^{(n)}(\mathbf{r}) \sim A_0(\frac{r}{L})^{\zeta_n^0} + A_1(\frac{r}{L})^{\zeta_n^1} + \cdots$$

•Matching Infra-Red boundary conditions: $r \sim L$

$$S^{(n)}(\mathbf{L}) \sim A_0 + A_1 + A_2 + \cdots$$

prefactor cannot be universal

•About universality of scaling exponents nothing can be said rigorously, at least for the NS eqs.

Recovery of Isotropy
Small-Scales Universality

 $\zeta^{j=0}(n) \leq \zeta^{j=1}(n) \leq \zeta^{j=2}(n) < \dots$

Scaling in anisotropic sectors



L.B. and F. Toschi, PRL 86, 4831 (2001) L.B. I. Daumont, A. Lanotte and F. Toschi. PRE. 66, 056306 (2002) We performed a DNS of a Random-Kolmogorov Flow •Periodic boundary conditions •256x256x256 •Hyperviscosity •Homogeneous but

$$f_z = \cos(z + \phi(t))$$

$$\langle \phi(t)\phi(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t')$$

Anisotropic

Comparison of scaling properties: isotropic sector (j=0,m=0) vs undecomposed structure function





Recovery of isotropy vs persistency of Anisotropies

Experimental Results on Persistency of Anisotropies

Garg and Warhaft, PoF 10, 662 (1998). Kurien et al. PRE 61, 407 (2000). Kurien and Sreenivasan, PRE 62, 2206 (2000). Shen and Warhaft, PoF 14, 370 and 2432 (2002).









Two ways to measure small-scales anisotropies:



L.B. and M. Vergassola, PoF. 13, 2139 (2001)

Open questions



n=4 J=0

$$\delta_L v(r) = (\mathbf{v_1} - \mathbf{v_2}) \cdot \mathbf{r}$$

 $\delta_T v(r) = (\mathbf{v_1}^{\perp} - \mathbf{v_2}^{\perp})$

fully isotropic

$$\begin{array}{l} \overset{\mathrm{n=2}}{_{J=0}} & \begin{cases} \langle \delta v^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \delta v^{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = a(r) \hat{r}^{\alpha} \hat{r}^{\beta} + b(r) \delta^{\alpha\beta} \\ S_{L}^{(2)}(r) = \langle (\delta_{L} v)^{2} \rangle = a(r) + b(r) \\ S_{T}^{(2)}(r) = \langle (\delta_{T} v)^{2} \rangle = b(r) \end{cases} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{cases} \langle \delta v^{\alpha} \delta v^{\beta} \delta v^{\gamma} \delta v^{\delta} \rangle \sim c(r) \hat{r}^{\alpha} \hat{r}^{\beta} \hat{r}^{\gamma} \hat{r}^{\delta} + d(r) [\hat{r}^{\alpha} \hat{r}^{\beta} \delta^{\gamma\delta} + perm] + e(r) [\delta^{\gamma\delta} \delta^{\alpha\beta} + perm] \\ S_{L}^{(4)}(r) = \langle (\delta_{L} v)^{4} \rangle = c(r) + 3d(r) + 3e(r) \\ S_{T}^{(4)}(r) = \langle (\delta_{T} v)^{4} \rangle = 3e(r) \\ S_{LT}^{(4)}(r) = \langle (\delta_{T} v)^{2} (\delta_{L} v)^{2} \rangle = 3d(r) + 3e(r) \end{cases}$$

Benzi et al , JFM, 653, p 221 (2010)

1st MESSAGE: LONGITUDINAL AND TRANSVERSE SCALE DIFFERENTLY



•SO(3) decomposition is needed if you want to disentangle in a systematic way <u>isotropic</u> from <u>anisotropic</u> contributions and different anisotropic contributions among themselves.

•Dynamical importance through the "foliation" mechanism of the eqs. of motion.

•(i) Power law behaviour only in separated (j) sectors; (ii) intermittency also in anisotropic sectors, (iii) (slow) Recovery of small-scales isotropy.

•OPEN QUESTIONS: (i) Universality of anisotropic exponents? (ii) longitudinal vs transverse scaling in isotropic sector.

For a recent review see: L. Biferale & I. Procaccia Anisotropy in turbulent flows and in turbulent transport Physics Reports Volume 414, Issues 2-3, July 2005, Pages 43-164 •Toward real world (III): Turbulence + passive particles (tracers, heavy, light).



Lagrangian turbulence?

Is the multifractal/ldt formalism able to describe also the phenomenology of Lagrangian turbulence ?

"....Unfortunately, there are no significant lagrangian measurements of velocity, acceleration, etc., to test the multifractal predictions. ..."

M.S. Borgas, "The Multifractal Lagrangian Nature of Turbulence", Phyl. Trans: Phys. Sciences and Eng. Vol. 342 (**1993**) 379.

Recently things are changing !



With some surprise...

Experiments

Experimental Lagrangian measurements are intrinsically difficult: one has to follow (many) Lagrangian trajectories for long time at high Reynolds (i.e. high sampling frequency)



Ott and Mann experiment at Risø conventional 3D PTV -Re_x=100-300

Luthi, Tsinober et al 3D PTV and 3D scanning PTV for velocity gradients



Acoustic/Laser Doppler tracking -Re_{λ} ~800 (single particle tracking)

Pinton et al ENSL

Bodenschatz et al at Cornell-MPI

silicon strip detectors (now also CCD) $\text{Re}_{\lambda}\,{\approx}\,1000{\text{-}}\,1500$



Warhaft et al experiment at Cornell Fast moving camera $Re_{s} \approx 300$

non intrusive tracking down to

DNS





- low to moderate Reynolds numbers, Re
- computationally expensive (Cpu time $\propto Re_{\lambda}^{\ 6})$
- memory demanding (ram \propto Re $_{\!\lambda}^{~9\!/2})$
- + high time resolution and long tracking
- + large Lagrangian statistics
- + multiparticle tracking
- + simultaneous
 - **Eulerian-Lagrangian statistics**



Lagrangian velocity statistics

$$S_p(r) = \langle (u(x+r) - u(x))^p \rangle \sim r^{\zeta_E(p)}$$

$$S_p(\tau) = \langle (v(t+\tau) - v(t))^p \rangle \sim \tau^{\zeta_L(p)}$$

$$\tau_\eta \ll \tau \ll T_L$$

Does it exist and how to estimate $\zeta_L(p)$? In Eulerian turbulence we have $\zeta_E(p) = \inf_h (hp + 3 - D(h))$

Let's try to make a predictions

$$\delta_\tau v \sim \delta_r u$$

We assume that r and τ are linked by the typical eddy turn over time at the given spatial scale

$$\tau_r \sim r/\delta_r u$$

Bridge between Eulerian and Lagrangian description:

$$\tau \sim \frac{L_0^h}{v_0} r^{1-h}$$

[Borgas (1993); Boffetta et al (2002)]

$$S_p(r) = \langle (\delta_r v)^p \rangle \sim \langle v_0^p \rangle \int_I dh \left(\frac{r}{L_0} \right)^{hp+3-D(h)}$$
 EULERIAN

Multifractal prediction for the Lagrangian structure functions

$$\begin{split} S_p(\tau) &\sim \langle v_0^p \rangle \int_{h \in I} \mathrm{d}h \left(\frac{\tau}{T_L} \right)^{\frac{hp+3+D(h)}{1-h}} & \text{Same D(h) of} \\ \text{where} & \text{the Eulerian field }!! \\ \zeta_L(p) &= \inf_h \left(\frac{hp+3-D(h)}{1-h} \right) \end{split}$$

WARNING: NO EXACT RESULTS SUPPORTING THE EXISTENCE OF SCALING LAWS IN LAGRANGIAN FRAMEWORK
BATCHELOR-MENEVEAU -> LAGRANGIAN [CHEVILLARD ET AL PRL 2003]

but: dissipative time fluctuates (as the dissipative scale)

$$au_\eta(h) \sim Re_\lambda^{rac{2(h-1)}{1+h}}$$







FIG. 4 (color online). Normalized conditional acceleration variance $\langle a^2 | u \rangle / \sigma_a^2$ for $R_{\lambda} = 690$, 485, 285, circles, triangles, and squares, respectively. Solid lines are the fit (3).

Joint Statistics of the Lagrangian Acceleration and Velocity in Fully Developed Turbulence. Crawford, Mordant, and Bodenschatz PRL 94, 024501 (2005)



The local exponents $\zeta_p(\tau)$ act as **magnifying glass**, probing locally the value of the scaling exponents.

-) Power law scaling -> plateaux for **local scaling exponents**

-) Comparing results from different components: estimate of anisotropy



FIG. 1: Log-Lin plot of the local exponent for the fourth moment, $\zeta(4, \tau)$, averaged over the three velocity components, as a function of the normalised time lag $\tau/\tau_{\eta_{\tau}}$. Data sets come from three experiments (EXP) (see table 1) and five direct numerical simulations (DNS) (see table 2). Error bars are estimated out of the spread between the three components, but for EXP1 and EXP3 where only two components have been considered because of large systematic anisotropic effects in the third one. Each data set is plotted only in the time range where the known experimental/numerical limitations are certainly not affecting the results. In particular, for each data set, the largest time lag always satisfies $\tau < T_L$. The minimal time lag is set by the highest fully resolved frequency. The shaded area displays the prediction obtained by the MF model by using $D_L(h)$ or $D_T(h)$, with $\beta = 4$, for a range of $Re_{\lambda} \in [150:800]$, comparable with the range of Reynolds in the data. Notice that the MF predictions have been obtained by fixing equal to 7, the multiplicative constant in the definition of τ_{η} (see Methods). The straight dashed line corresponds to the dimensional non-intermittent value $\zeta(4, \tau) = 2$. Notice that two DNS are even resolved enough to get the right dimensional scaling in the high frequency limits.

International Collaboration for Turbulence Research, A. Arneodo,¹ J. Berg,² R. Benzi,³ L. Biferale,³ E. Bodenschatz,⁴ A. Busse,⁵ E. Calzavarini,⁶ B. Castaing,¹ M. Cencini,⁷ L. Chevillard,¹ R. Fisher,⁸ R. Grauer,⁹ H. Homann,⁹ D. Lamb,⁸ A.S. Lanotte,¹⁰ E. Leveque,¹ B. Lüthi,¹¹ J. Mann,² N. Mordant,¹² W.-C. Müller,⁵ S. Ott,² N. Oullette,¹³ J.-F. Pinton,¹ S.B. Pope,¹⁴ S.G. Roux,¹ F. Toschi,^{15,16} H. Xu,⁴ and P.K. Yeung¹⁷ [PRL 100, 254504 2008]

INFINITELY-MANY ANOMALOUS SCALING EXPONENTS (MULTIFRACTAL FIELD, Parisi & Frisch, 1983)

$$S_p(\tau) = \langle (v(t+\tau) - v(t))^p \rangle \sim \tau^{\zeta_L(p)}$$





FIG. 1: Log-Lin plot of the local exponent for the fourth moment, $\zeta(4, \tau)$, averaged over the three velocity components, as a function of the normalised time lag τ/τ_{η} . Data sets come from three experiments (EXP) (see table 1) and five direct numerical simulations (DNS) (see table 2). Error bars are estimated out of the spread between the three components, but for EXP1 and EXP3 where only two components have been considered because of large systematic anisotropic effects in the third one. Each data set is plotted only in the time range where the known experimental/numerical limitations are certainly not affecting the







 $\beta < 1$ heavy particles $\beta > 1$ light particles $\beta = \frac{3\rho_f}{\rho_f + 2\rho_p}$

$$rac{doldsymbol{X}}{dt} = oldsymbol{V}$$
 $rac{doldsymbol{V}}{dt} = oldsymbol{eta} rac{Doldsymbol{u}(oldsymbol{X},t)}{Dt} + rac{oldsymbol{u}(oldsymbol{X},t) - oldsymbol{V}}{ au}$

Drag: Stokes Time

 $\frac{a^2}{3\nu\beta}$ $\tau = \frac{1}{2}$



Acceleration: pdf(a) vs. St



Q: how to include inertia in Multifractal phenomenology?





Figure from: On the effects of vortex trapping on the velocity statistics of tracers and heavy particle in turbulent flows J. Bec, L. B., M. Cencini, A. S. Lanotte, and F. Toschi, PoF 18, 081702, 2006.



CLOSED

- •Lagrangian Structure Functions are Intermittent.
- •Intermittency increases considerably around dissipative scales.
- •Lagrangian Structure Functions are UNIVERSAL.
- Lagrangian anisotropy decays (how fast? Need more careful checks with other flow configurations).
- •Translation from Eulerian to Lagrangian Multifractal works well: giving a prediction with only 1 important free parameter connected to the transition between inertial and viscous ranges.

OPEN

•Can we have a MF/LDT also for heavy and light particle? We don't know. It looks difficult -> we need to include preferential concentration in to the stochastic model. vortex filaments: dog or tail?



- Kraichnan et al: superposition of random vortex filaments: k41 scaling with longitudinal=transverse scaling.

- Belin, Maurer, Tabeling & Willaime: filaments transition (statistical instability) at $\text{Re} \sim 700$

- Chorin: collection of sel-avoiding vortex filaments -> fractal structure
- Passot Politano et al: influence of vortex filaments on the energy spectrum
- Migdal: loop turbulence, statistics driven by velocity circulation

8.9.2 Statistical signature of vortex filaments: dog or tail?

Having identified 'simple' geometric objects, the vortex filaments, in turbulent flows, it is natural to ask if any of the known statistical properties of turbulence can be thus explained. Are the vortex filaments the *dog* or the *tail*? In the former case, they would be essential to explain the energetics and the scaling properties of high-Reynolds-number flow. In the latter case, they would have only marginal signatures, for example on the tails of p.d.f.s of various small-scale quantities and on the exponents ζ_p for large *ps*.

thanks to: Arad, Bec, Benzi, Boffetta, Celani, Cencini, Lanotte, Procaccia, Toschi, Vergassola, Vulpiani

8	TOSCHI, F	58	49.1525 %	And a second sec
8	BENZI, R	39	33.0508 %	
8	SBRAGAGLIA, M	19	16.1017 %	-
	SUCCI, S	18	15.2542 %	-
8	CENCINI, M	16	13.5593 %	-
8	VULPIANI, A	14	11.8644 %	1000
8	LANOTTE, A	13	11.0169 %	-
8	BOFFETTA, G	12	10.1695 %	-
8	CELANI, A	12	10.1695 %	-
8	LANOTTE, AS	12	10.1695 %	-
	TRIPICCIONE, R	8	6.7797 %	
0	VERGASSOLA, M	8	6.7797 %	
8	BEC, J	7	5.9322 %	
•	CHIBBARO, S	6	5.0847 %	
8	DIOTALLEVI, F	6	5.0847 %	
8	VERGNI, D	6	5.0847 %	
8	PROCACCIA, I	5	4.2373 %	

- U. Frisch, Turbulence: *the legacy of A.N. Kolmogorov* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995)
- T. Bohr, M.H. Jensen, G. Paladin, A. Vulpiani, *Dynamical System Approach to Turbulence* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1997)
- R. Benzi and L. Biferale, *Intermittency in Turbulence* in "CISM Courses and Lectures No. 442 : Theories of Turbulence" (edited by M. Oberlack and F.H. Busse, Springer 2002)
- L. Blferale, G. Boffetta and B. Castaing, *Turbulence Pleinement Developpee*, in "L'heritage de Lomogorov en physsique" (ed. R. Livi and A. Vulpiani, Belin, Paris 2003)
- A.Arneodo, B. Audit, E. Bacry, S. Manneville, J.F. Muzy and S.G. Roux, *Scale invariance and beyond: what can we learn from wavelet analysis* (in Scale invariance and beyond, ed. B. Dubrulle, F. Graner and D. Sornette, EDP Science Springer 1997)
- L. Biferale and I. Procaccia. Anisotropy in turbulent flows and in turbulent transport Phys. Rep. 2005, 414, p 43
- F. Toschi and E. Bodenschatz. Lagrangian Properties of particles in Turbulence. Ann Rev. Fluid Mech, 41 p 375 (2009).