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• Introduction: the nature of the problem…
• Entropy of moist air
• Heat engines and water vapor.
• Equation of state of moist air
• Idealized moist Rayleigh-Benard convection
• Buoyancy and entropy fluxes
• Isentropic circulation
Net heating at the surface $\sim 100\text{W/m}^2$

Net cooling above $\sim -100\text{W/m}^2$

Kinetic energy production and dissipation $\sim 2-5\text{W/m}^2$

$D \approx 4\text{W/m}^2$

$\Rightarrow \varepsilon \approx 0.0004$

$\Rightarrow V_{1m} \sim 0.1\text{m/s}^{-1}$

But kinetic energy production and dissipation are highly intermittent!
Different weather regimes are associated with dramatically different amounts of kinetic energy production.
1A. Thermodynamics

• 1st Law - energy conservation

\[ \Delta U + W = Q \]

• 2nd Law... what does it mean?

\[ \Delta S = \frac{Q}{T} + \Delta S_{irr} \]

\[ \Delta S_{irr} \geq 0 \]
\[ \Delta S = \frac{Q}{T} + \Delta S_{\text{irr}} \]

\[ \Delta S_{\text{irr}} \geq 0 \]

- S: entropy is a state variable.
- There are reversible processes.
- Entropy is conserved for reversible adiabatic transformations, i.e. two systems have the same entropy if they can be joined by a sequence of reversible adiabatic transformation.
- But real transformations are irreversible and associated with a positive entropy production.
1B. Entropy of moist air

- Moist air can be treated as an ideal mixture of dry air, water vapor, and liquid water. The entropy per unit mass of dry air $S$ is then:

$$S = s_d + r s_v + r_l s_l$$

With:
- $S$: entropy per unit mass of dry air;
- $r$: mixing ratio (water vapor concentration);
- $r_l$: mixing ratio for condensed water;
- $r_T = r + r_l$: mixing ratio for total water;
- $s_d, s_v, s_l$: specific entropy for dry air, water vapor, and liquid water.
• The specific entropies are defined up to an additive constant:

\[
s_d = C_{pd} \ln \frac{T}{T_0} - R_d \ln \frac{p_d}{p_o} + s_{d0}
\]

\[
s_v = C_{pv} \ln \frac{T}{T_o} - R_v \ln \frac{e}{e_o} + s_{v0}
\]

\[
s_l = C_l \ln \frac{T}{T_o} + s_{l0}
\]

• We cannot put all the integration constant to 0 because the entropy of water vapor and liquid water must be such that:

\[
s_v - s_l = \frac{L_v}{T} \text{ at saturation (} e = e_s(T) \text{ or } H = 1)\]

• ‘Moist entropy $S$’: set $s_{l0} = s_{d0} = 0$

\[
\rightarrow S = (C_{pd} + r_T C_l) \ln \frac{T}{T_0} + R_d \ln \frac{p_d}{p_o} + r \left( \frac{L_v}{T} - R_v \ln H \right)
\]
A brief note on adiabatic invariants:

• The thermodynamic properties of dry air can be described by 2 state variables, say entropy and pressure. As pressure is not invariant, any adiabatic invariant is function of entropy alone:
  \[
  \frac{dF(S)}{dt} = 0 \text{ for reversible adiabatic transformations.}
  \]

• For moist air, we need at least three state variables, e.g. entropy, total water concentration, and pressure. Any function of entropy and total water content is an adiabatic invariant:
  \[
  \frac{dF(S,r_T)}{dt} = 0 \text{ for reversible adiabatic transformations.}
  \]
2. Idealized heat engine

- Idealized problem: convection transport water vapor and energy upward from a warm/moist source to a dry/cold sink.
- Situation is analogous to shallow, non-precipitating convection.
2a. Carnot cycle

1 → 2: isothermal expansion at $T_{in}$
2 → 3: adiabtic expansion with $S_2 = S_3$
3 → 4: isothermal compression at $T_{out}$
4 → 1: adiabatic compression with $S_2 = S_3$

Total water content is constant through the entire cycle!
• Mechanical work is defined as
\[ W = \oint -\alpha(S, r_T, p) \, dp \]
• Using the thermodynamic relationship
\[ TdS = dh - \alpha dp \]
we get:
\[ W = \oint TdS = (T_{in} - T_{out}) \Delta S \]
• External heating
\[ \delta Q = dh - \alpha dp = TdS \]
• Heating at the warm source:
\[ Q_{in} = \oint^{2}_{1} \delta Q^+ = \int TdS = T_{in} \Delta S \]
Efficiency \[ \eta_c = \frac{W}{Q_{in}} = \frac{T_{in} - T_{out}}{T_{in}} \]
2B. Steam cycle

1 → 2: isothermal moistening at $T_{in}$
2 → 3: adiabtic expansion
3 → 4: isothermal drying at $T_{out}$
4 → 1: adiabatic compression

Heating is due solely to evaporation!

$Q_{in} = L \Delta r_T$

$T_{in}$

$T_{out}$

$\Delta S$

$\Delta r_T$
The expression

$$TdS = dh - \alpha dp$$

is only valid for close transformations.

To account for the addition or removal of mass, we need an additional term:

$$TdS = dh - \alpha dp - g dr_T$$

where $g$ is the Gibbs free energy of water vapor per unit of mass:

$$g = h - Ts$$

$$= C_{pv} (T - T_0 - \ln \frac{T}{T_0}) + R_v T \ln H$$

$$\approx R_v T \ln H$$
• Mechanical work:

\[ W = \oint TdS + \oint gdr_T \]
\[ = (T_{in} - T_{out})\Delta S + (g_{in} - g_{out})\Delta r_T \]

• with

\[ g_{in} = R_v T_{in} \ln H_{in} \]
\[ g_{out} = R_v T_{out} \ln H_{out} \]

• Surface heating:

\[ Q_{in} = T_{in} \Delta S + g_{in} \Delta r_T = L\Delta r_T \]

• Entropy change:

\[ \Delta S = \left( \frac{L - g_{in}}{T_{in}} \right) \Delta r_T \]

Efficiency \( \eta_H = \frac{W}{Q_{in}} = \frac{T_{in} - T_{out}}{T_{in}} + \frac{R_v T_{out}}{L} \ln \frac{H_{in}}{H_{out}} \)
The efficiency depends on the state of the system!!!

- Saturated case: $H=1$

Efficiency $\eta_{H,\text{sat}} = \frac{W}{Q_{in}} = \frac{T_{in} - T_{out}}{T_{in}}$

- General case: the relative humidity increases with height, i.e

$$H_{out} \geq H_{in} \rightarrow \eta_H \leq \frac{T_{in} - T_{out}}{T_{in}}$$

- Hence, a steam cycle produces at best as much mechanical work as a Carnot cycle
• Three regimes:
  – The cycle is unsaturated at all time: efficiency is minimum.
  – The cycle is partially saturated: efficiency increases with amount of water in the cycle.
  – The cycle is saturated at all time: efficiency is maximum and given by the Carnot efficiency

\[ \eta_T = \frac{\Delta T}{T} \]

\[ \eta_H \approx 0.16 \eta_T \]

\[ H_{out} = 1 \quad H_{in} = 1 \]

Unsaturated cycle  Partially saturated  Fully saturated
2C. There is no free lunch…

- Its rate of change is given by
  \[ dg = s dT + \alpha dp \]

- For a reversible isothermal process, we have:
  \[
  dg - \alpha dp = 0 \\
  \Rightarrow \Delta g + W = 0
  \]

- The amount of work that can be extracted is equal to the reduction in free energy!

- And it is only possible to increase the free energy if work is exerted on the system
“water vapor transport penalty” due to an increase in the free energy as water is transported upward

\[(g_{v,in} - g_{v,out})\rho_0 w'r_T'\]

\[g_v = R_v T \ln H\]

- Free energy increase with height in an unsaturated ascent
- Bur is constant whenever the air is saturated
2d. Mixed Carnot-steam cycle

1 → 2: isothermal heating and moistening at $T_{in}$
2 → 3: adiabtic expansion
3 → 4: isothermal cooling and drying at $T_{out}$
4 → 1: adiabatic compression

- Intermediary steps 5 and 6 such that cycle 1-5-6-4 is a humidifier and 5-2-3-6 is a Carnot cycle.
• Latent and sensible heat flux:

\[ Q_{lat} = L \Delta r_T \]

\[ Q_{sen} = T_{in} \Delta S + (g_{in} - L) \Delta r_T \]

• Bowen ratio:

\[ B = \frac{Q_{sen}}{Q_{lat}} \]

Efficiency \( \eta = \frac{B}{1 + B} \eta_c + \frac{1}{1 + B} \eta_H \)

\[ = \frac{T_{in} - T_{out}}{T_{in}} + \frac{1}{1 + B} \frac{R_v T_{out}}{L} \ln \frac{H_{in}}{H_{out}} \]

The efficiency of an atmospheric heat engine depends on both its degree of saturation and on the Bowen ratio.
Work = \eta Q_{in} = \left[ \frac{T_{\text{in}} - T_{\text{out}}}{T_{\text{in}}} + \frac{1}{1 + B} \frac{R_v T_{\text{out}}}{L} \ln \frac{H_{\text{in}}}{H_{\text{out}}} \right] Q_{in}

small \Delta T
low \, H

large \Delta T
low \, H

large \Delta T
high \, H
3A. Equation of state for moist air

• Equation of state relates various thermodynamic properties (i.e. state variable) of a fluid.
• We are particularly interested in expressed properties like specific volume in terms of adiabatic invariants and pressure.
• Moist air is treated as a mixture of dry air, water vapor and condensed water. Water vapor and dry air are treated as ideal gases. Liquid water is treated as incompressible and its volume is neglected.
Specific volume:

- We start from the ideal gas law

\[ PV = (N_d + N_v)R* T \]

\[ = (N_d m_d) \frac{R*}{m_d} T + (N_v m_v) \frac{R*}{m_v} T \]

- Then, after some reorganization, we get:

\[ \alpha = \left[ \frac{1}{1 + r_T} R_d T + \frac{r}{1 + r_T} R_v \right] \frac{T}{P} \]

\[ = \left( \frac{1 + \frac{R_v}{R_d} r}{1 + r_T} \right) \frac{R_d T}{P} = \frac{R_d T \rho}{P} \]

- At the end, we can express the specific volume as a (smooth) function of four state variables, i.e.:

\[ \alpha = \alpha(p, R, r, r_T) \]
Thermodynamic equilibrium

• Condensed water can only be present if it is in thermodynamics equilibrium with water. I.e we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{either } & \quad e \leq e_s(T) \quad \text{and} \quad r_l = 0 \quad (\text{unsaturated air}) \\
\text{or } & \quad e = e_s(T) \quad \text{and} \quad r_l \geq 0 \quad (\text{saturated air})
\end{align*}
\]

\(e\) : partial pressure of water vapor

\(e_s\) : saturation vapor pressure

\(T\) : Temperature

\(q_l\) : concentration of condensed water
Phase transition and partial derivatives

- Thermodynamic equilibrium introduces a switch condition in the description of the state of moist air:

\[ r_l = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } r_T < r_s(T,p) \\
r_T - r_s(T,p) & \text{for } r_T < r_s(T,p) 
\end{cases} \]

- This implies a discontinuity in the partial derivatives of the equation of state:

\[ \left( \frac{\partial r_l}{\partial r_T} \right)_{p,x} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } r_T \leq r_s(T,p) \\
1 & \text{for } r_T > r_s(T,p) 
\end{cases} \]

- This applies not only to liquid water content, but to almost all states variables.
Specific volume $\alpha(S, q_T, p)$ for $p = 900\text{mb}$
Adiabatic Lapse Rate: $\Gamma = -\rho g \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial p} \right)_{S,r_T}$
3B. Boussinesq Approximation

- Start with the compressible N-S equation

\[ \frac{du}{dt} = -\alpha \nabla p - gk - \nu \nabla^2 u \]

\[ \frac{d\alpha}{dt} - \alpha \nabla \cdot u = 0 \]

- Assume an isentropic reference state
- Expand for small perturbation in pressure and density:

\[ \frac{du}{dt} = -\nabla P + Bk - \nu \nabla^2 u \]

\[ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \]
Variations of density only enter through the buoyancy term in the vertical momentum equation:

\[ B = B(X_1 \ldots X_n, z) = g \frac{\alpha(X_1 \ldots X_n, p_{\text{ref}}(z)) - \alpha_{\text{ref}}(z)}{\alpha_{\text{ref}}(z)} \]

where the specific volume \( \alpha \)

\[ \alpha = \alpha(X_1 \ldots X_n, p) \]

is a non-linear function of the pressure \( p \) and other state variables \( X_1 \ldots X_n \).

The simplest choice is then to choose \( X_1 \ldots X_n \) to be adiabatic invariants:

\[ \frac{dX_i}{dt} = \kappa \nabla^2 X_i \]
What about the adiabatic invariants?

- We use the entropy $S$ and the total water concentration $q_T$ as adiabatic invariants:

\[
\frac{dS}{dt} = \dot{S} + \kappa \nabla^2 S
\]
\[
\frac{dq_T}{dt} = q_T + \kappa \nabla^2 q_T.
\]

- The buoyancy is a non-linear function of the two invariants:

\[
B(S, q_T, z) = g \frac{\alpha(S, q_T, p_{ref}(z)) - \alpha_{ref}(z)}{\alpha_{ref}(z)}.
\]
Piece-wise linear equation of state:

Linearize the equation of state within the saturated and unsaturated regions:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial S} \right)_{q_T,z} = \frac{g}{\alpha_{\text{ref}}} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial S} \right)_{q_T,p} = \begin{cases} 
B_{S,u} & \text{if } q_T \le q_{\text{sat}}(S,z) \\
B_{S,s} & \text{if } q_T > q_{\text{sat}}(S,z)
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial q_T} \right)_{S,z} = \frac{g}{\alpha_{\text{ref}}} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial q_T} \right)_{S,p} = \begin{cases} 
B_{q_T,u} & \text{if } q_T \le q_{\text{sat}}(S,z) \\
B_{q_T,s} & \text{if } q_T > q_{\text{sat}}(S,z)
\end{cases}
\]

Introduce two new variables, the ‘dry’ and ‘moist’ buoyancies \( D \) and \( M \):

\[
D = B_{S,u} (S - S_{\text{ref}}) + B_{q_T,u}(q_T - q_{T,\text{ref}})
\]

\[
M = B_{S,s} (S - S_{\text{ref}}) + B_{q_T,s}(q_T - q_{T,\text{ref}})
\]
• For unsaturated parcels,
  \[ \left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial D} \right)_{M,z} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial M} \right)_{D,z} = 0, \]

• While for saturated parcels,
  \[ \left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial D} \right)_{M,z} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial M} \right)_{D,z} = 1. \]
• We still need a condition for saturation:
  \[ M - D \geq -N_s^2 z \]
  
• The full system is then

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{du}{dt} &= -\nabla p' + Bk + \nu \nabla^2 u \\
\nabla \cdot u &= 0 \\
\frac{dD}{dt} &= \dot{D} + \kappa \nabla^2 D \\
\frac{dM}{dt} &= \dot{M} + \kappa \nabla^2 M
\end{align*}
\]

\[ B(D, M, z) = \max(M, D - N_s^2 z) \]
Buoyancy in a saturated adiabatic ascent

\[ B(D, M, z) = \max(M, D - N_s^2 z) \]

Buoyancy in an unsaturated adiabatic ascent

\[ B = D - N_s^2 z \]
\[ B(D, M, z) = \max(M, D - N_s^2 z) \]

Adiabatic ascent - Parcel becomes saturated at \( z = z_b \)
Moist Rayleigh-Benard convection

Analog to the classic Rayleigh-Benard convection but now, both the ‘dry’ and ‘moist’ buoyancyes $D$ and $M$ must be specified at the upper and lower boundary.
5 non-dimensional parameters

\[
\frac{du^*}{dt^*} = -\nabla^* p^* + \text{B}^* (M^*, D^*, z^*)k + \sqrt{\frac{Pr}{Ra_M}} \nabla^* u^*
\]
\[\nabla^* \cdot u^* = 0\]
\[
\frac{dD^*}{dt^*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{PrRa_M}} \nabla^* D^* + \frac{Ra_D}{Ra_M} u^*_z
\]
\[
\frac{dM^*}{dt^*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{PrRa_M}} \nabla^* M^* + u^*_z
\]

3 Parameters in the equations
- Dry Rayleigh number \( Ra_D \)
- Moist Rayleigh number \( Ra_M \)
- Prandtl number \( Pr \)

And 2 are hidden in the buoyancy term:

\[
B^* = \max \left( M^*, D^* + SSD + \left( 1 - \frac{Ra_D}{Ra_M} \right) z^* - CSAz^* \right)
\]
Five-dimensional parameter space

\[ Ra_D = \frac{(D_0 - D_H)H^3}{\nu \kappa} \]

“Dry Rayleigh number”

\[ Ra_M = \frac{(M_0 - M_H)H^3}{\nu \kappa} \]

“Moist Rayleigh number”

\[ CSA = \frac{N_s^2 H}{M_0 - M_H} \]

“Condensation in Saturated Ascent”

\[ SSD = \frac{D_0 - M_0}{M_0 - M_H} \]

“Surface Saturation Deficit”

\[ Pr = \frac{\nu}{\kappa} \]

“Prandtl number”
2 Limiting cases:

Unsaturated atmosphere: if the whole atmosphere is unsaturated - i.e when

\[ M_0 - D_0 - N_s^2 H \leq 0 \text{ and } M_H - D_H - N_s^2 H \leq 0 \]

This problem is equivalent to the Rayleigh-Benard problem with

\[ Ra = Ra_D \text{ and } Pr = Pr \]

Saturated atmosphere: if the whole atmosphere is unsaturated - i.e when

\[ M_0 - D_0 \geq 0 \text{ and } M_H - D_H \geq 0 \]

This problem is equivalent to the Rayleigh-Benard problem with

\[ Ra = Ra_M \text{ and } Pr = Pr \]
Atmospheric moist convection

\[ Ra_D = \frac{(D_0 - D_H)H^3}{\nu \kappa} \]

\[ Ra_M = \frac{(M_0 - M_H)H^3}{\nu \kappa} \quad Pr = 0.7 \]

\[ CSA = \frac{N_s^2 H}{M_0 - M_H} \]

\[ SSD = \frac{D_0 - M_0}{M_0 - M_H} \]
Case 1: „stratocumulus regime“

\[ Ra_D > 0 \quad (= const.) \quad Ra_M > 0 \quad (= const.) \quad Pr = 0.7 \]

\[ CSA = const. \]

\[ SSD = \frac{D_0 - M_0}{M_0 - M_H} \]
Buoyancy flux and cloud base

"Clouds" \( q_l \sim M - D + N_s^2 z \geq 0 \)

"Cloud base" \( M - D + N_s^2 z = 0 \)
Buoyancy flux and cloud base

In Boussinesq system, generation of kinetic energy is given by the integral of buoyancy flux:

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}KE = \int wBdz - D \]

In classic Rayleigh-Benard convection, we have

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \overline{B} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \overline{wB} = \kappa \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \overline{B} \]

so that the buoyancy flux is constant with height.

But it is not the case for stratiform convection.
Buoyancy flux and cloud base

\[ B = \max(M,D - N_s^2 z) \] (not an adiabatic invariant anymore!)

\[ \partial_t \bar{D} + \partial_z \bar{wD} = \kappa \partial_{zz} \bar{D} \]
\[ \partial_t \bar{M} + \partial_z \bar{wM} = \kappa \partial_{zz} \bar{M} \]

In unsaturated regions:
\[ \bar{wB} = \bar{wD} \]

In saturated regions:
\[ \bar{wB} = \bar{wM} \]

Cloud base of stratocumulus and water deficit increase
Mixing line

- Over long time-scale, the solution collapse toward a mixing line, i.e. the dry and moist buoyancy can be expressed as a function of a mixing fraction

\[ M = \chi M_H + (1 - \chi) M_0 \]
\[ D = \chi D_H + (1 - \chi) D_0 \]
• However, rate of collapse decrease with Rayleigh number
• But the steady state distribution depends also on the Rayleigh number
• Which has direct impact on the cloudbase/cloud fraction
Case 2: stratocumulus to cumulus

\[ Ra_D = \frac{(D_0 - D_H)H^3}{\nu \kappa} \]
\[ Ra_M = \frac{(M_0 - M_H)H^3}{\nu \kappa} \]
\[ Pr = 0.7 \]

\[ CSA = \frac{N_s^2 H}{M_0 - M_H} \]
\[ SSD = \frac{D_0 - M_0}{M_0 - M_H} \]
Changing cloud fraction

\[ Ra_D > 0 \quad (=\, const.\,) \]

\[ Ra_M = \frac{(M_0 - M_H)H^3}{\nu \kappa} \quad Pr = 0.7 \]

\[ CSA = \frac{N_s^2 H}{M_0 - M_H} \quad SSD = 0 \]

Variation of \( M_H \) and thus of CSA and \( Ra_M \)
"Stratocumulus" to "Cumulus"

Cloud layer breaks up and disappears
Case 3: Conditional instability

\[ Ra_D = \frac{(D_0 - D_H)H^3}{\nu \kappa} \]
\[ Ra_M = \frac{(M_0 - M_H)H^3}{\nu \kappa} \]
\[ Pr = 0.7 \]

\[ CSA = \frac{N_s^2 H}{M_0 - M_H} \]
\[ SSD = \frac{D_0 - M_0}{M_0 - M_H} \]
Conditional instability

\[ Ra_D = \frac{(D_0 - D_H)H^3}{\nu\kappa} \]

\[ Ra_M = \frac{(M_0 - M_H)H^3}{\nu\kappa} \]

\[ Pr = 0.7 \]

\[ CSA > 0 \quad (= \text{const.}) \]

\[ SSD = 0 \]
Conditional instability

\[ Ra_D = \frac{(D_0 - D_H)H^3}{\nu \kappa} \]
\[ Ra_M = \frac{(M_0 - M_H)H^3}{\nu \kappa} \]

Stable stratification for unsaturated parcels

But unstable stratification for saturated parcels

\[ Ra_D < 0 \]
\[ Ra_M > 0 \]

\[ CSA > 0 \quad (= \text{const.}) \]
\[ SSD = 0 \]

Pr = 0.7
Conditional instability

Stable stratification for unsaturated parcels

But unstable stratification for saturated parcels

\[ Ra_D = \frac{(D_0 - D_H)H^3}{\nu\kappa} \]

\[ Ra_M = \frac{(M_0 - M_H)H^3}{\nu\kappa} \]

\[ Pr = 0.7 \]

\[ CSA > 0 \quad (= \text{const.}) \]

\[ SSD = 0 \]
\[ B(D, M, z) = \max(M, D - N_s^2 z) \]

**Buoyancy in a saturated adiabatic ascent**

\[ B = D - N_s^2 z \]

**Buoyancy in an unsaturated adiabatic ascent**

**Mean buoyancy profile** \( B(z) \)

**Buoyancy in a saturated adiabatic ascent**

\[ B = M \]
And convection becomes increasingly intermittent at high Ra.
Simulations evolve toward a localized turbulent patch at high aspect ratio
Conclusion

• Atmosphere can be viewed as a heat engine that generates kinetic energy by transporting energy from warm to cold.

• Relative humidity is a key factor in determining how much work is produced by atmospheric circulation. This can be captured by a simple steam cycle.

• This behavior is related to the non-linear state equation associated with the different behavior between saturated and unsaturated air.

• A simplified piecewise linear equation of state can capture the main effect of phase transition on dynamics, and use to simulate idealized moist convection.
• Latent and sensible heat flux:

\[
Q_{lat} = L \Delta r_T \\
Q_{sen} = T_{in} \Delta S + (g_{in} - L) \Delta r_T 
\]

• Bowen ratio:

\[
B = \frac{Q_{sen}}{Q_{lat}}
\]

Efficiency \( \eta = \frac{B}{1 + B} \eta_c + \frac{1}{1 + B} \eta_H \\
= \frac{T_{in} - T_{out}}{T_{in}} + \frac{1}{1 + B} \frac{R_v T_{out}}{L} \ln \frac{H_{in}}{H_{out}}
\]

The efficiency of a mixed cycle depends on both relative humidity and Bowen ratio.
\[ \rho_0 \overline{w'B'} = \Gamma_{ad} \rho_0 \overline{w'S'} - \left( \frac{\partial g_v}{\partial z} + G \right) \rho_0 \overline{w'r_T'} \]
II. Buoyancy flux

- We consider now a convective layer. The generation of kinetic energy is given by the vertical integral of the buoyancy flux:

\[
\frac{dKE}{dt} = \int \rho_0 w'B'dz
\]

- \( B \) is the buoyancy

\[
B(S,r_T,z) = G \left( \frac{\alpha_p - \alpha_0(z)}{\alpha_0(z)} \right)
\]
A. Stratocumulus convection

• Linearize the buoyancy flux

\[
\rho_0 w'B' \equiv \left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial S} \right)_{r_T,p} + \left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial r_T} \right)_{S,p} \rho_0 w'r_T'
\]

• The partial derivatives can be rewritten using the Maxwell relationships:

\[
\left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial S} \right)_{r_T,p} = \rho_0 G \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial S} \right)_{r_T,p} = \rho_0 G \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial p} \right)_{r_T,S} = -\left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)_{r_T,S} = \Gamma_{ad}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial r_T} \right)_{S,p} = \rho_0 G \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_d}{\partial r_T} \right)_{S,p} - G = \rho_0 G \left( \frac{\partial g_v}{\partial p} \right)_{r_T,S} - G = -\left( \frac{\partial g_v}{\partial z} \right)_{r_T,S}
\]
After integration:

\[
\frac{dKE}{dt} = \int_0^{\Delta z} \rho_0 w'B'dz
\]

\[
= (T_{in} - T_{out})\rho_0 w'S' + (g_{v,\text{in}} - g_{v,\text{out}})\rho_0 w'r_T'
\]

- Work done by a Carnot cycle
- "water vapor penalty"
- Geopotential energy gained by water

Work done by a mixed cycle
Thermodynamics of moist air
Part 2: Global considerations
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Adiabatic invariants (e.g. entropy, total water, equivalent potential temperature, M and D...) are well-mixed. And their flux is constant.
For non-invariants (e.g. liquid water, temperature, buoyancy)

\[ \bar{Y}(z) = F(\bar{X}_1(z), \bar{X}_2(z), p(z)) \]

⇒ The vertical derivative changes abruptly at the cloud base
For non-invariants (e.g. liquid water, temperature, buoyancy)

\[
\overline{w'X'}(z) = \overline{w'Y'}(z) = \overline{wX_1'} + \overline{wX_2'}
\]

⇒ The vertical flux is discontinuous at cloud base!
Excess condensation at cloud base acts as a source (or sink) for non-conserved quantities (liquid water, buoyancy, etc…)

Excess evaporation at cloud top
What are your favorite invariants?
• I understand it…
• It makes it easy to compute buoyancy or density (e.g. M and D)
• It can be measured
• It can be conserved under specific diabatic transformation
• I can easily write its tendency equation under general (non-conservative) conditions
Efficiency of steam cycle depends on relative humidity $r_{T,in}$.

$H_{in} = 1$

Unsaturated cycle

Buoyancy depends on location of cloud base.
Buoyancy flux

- In the Boussinesq approximation, the generation of kinetic energy is given by the vertical integral of the buoyancy flux:

\[
\frac{dKE}{dt} = \int \rho_0 w'B'dz
\]

- B is the buoyancy

\[
B(S,r_T,z) = G\frac{\alpha(S,r_T,p_0(z)) - \alpha_0(z)}{\alpha_0(z)}
\]

\[
= G\rho_0(z)[\alpha(S,r_T,p_0(z)) - \alpha_0(z)]
\]
• Linearize the buoyancy flux

\[
\rho_0 w' B' \equiv \left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial S} \right)_{r_T, p} \rho_0 w'S' + \left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial r_T} \right)_{s, p} \rho_0 w'r_T'
\]

with

\[
B(S, r_T, z) = G \rho_0(z) \left[ \alpha(S, r_T, p_0(z)) - \alpha_0(z) \right]
\]

• The partial derivatives can be rewritten as

\[
\left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial S} \right)_{r_T, p} = \rho_0 G \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial S} \right)_{r_T, p} = \frac{\rho_0 G}{1 + r_T 0} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial S} \right)_{r_T, p}
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial B}{\partial r_T} \right)_{s, p} = \rho_0 G \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial r_T} \right)_{s, p} = \frac{\rho_0 G}{1 + r_T 0} \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_d}{\partial p} \right)_{r_T, s} - \frac{G}{1 + r_T 0}
\]

\[
\alpha_d = \frac{\alpha}{1 + r_T} \text{: specific volume per unit mass of DRY AIR}
\]
• Maxwell relationships:

\[ TdS = dH - \alpha_d dp - gdr \]

\[ \alpha_d = \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \right)_{s,r_T}, \quad T = \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial S} \right)_{p,r_T} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial r_T} \right)_{s,p} \]

\[ \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_d}{\partial S} \right)_{p,r_T} = \left( \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial S \partial p} \right)_{r_T} = \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial p} \right)_{s,r_T} \]

\[ \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_d}{\partial r_T} \right)_{p,S} = \left( \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial r_T \partial p} \right)_{r_T} = \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} \right)_{s,r_T} \]
- Maxwell relationships:

\[
\frac{\partial B}{\partial S}_{r_T,p} = \frac{\rho_0 G}{1 + r_{T0}} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial S}_{r_T,p} = \frac{\rho_0 G}{1 + r_{T0}} \frac{\partial T}{\partial p}_{r_T,S} = \frac{1}{1 + r_{T0}} \Gamma_{ad}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial B}{\partial r_T}_{S,p} = \frac{\rho_0 G}{1 + r_{T0}} \frac{\partial \alpha_d}{\partial p}_{r_T,S} - \frac{G}{1 + r_{T0}}
\]

\[
= \frac{\rho_0 G}{1 + r_{T0}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p}_{r_T,S} - \frac{1}{1 + r_{T0}} G
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{1 + r_{T0}} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \right)_{r_T,S} + G \right]
\]
$$B' = \frac{1}{1 + r_{T_0}} \Gamma_{ad} S' - \frac{1}{1 + r_{T_0}} \left[ \frac{dg}{dz} + G \right] r_T'$$

$$\Rightarrow B' = \Gamma_{ad} S'' - \left[ \frac{dg}{dz} + G \right] q_T'$$

$S'$: Total entropy perturbation per unit mass of dry air

$S'' = \frac{S'}{1 + r_{T_0}}$: Total entropy perturbation per unit mass of moist air

$q_T' = \frac{r_T'}{1 + r_{T_0}}$: Specific humidity perturbation (and not mixing ratio...)

$$\overline{w'B'} = \Gamma_{ad} \overline{w'S''} - \left[ \frac{dg}{dz} + G \right] \overline{w'q_T'}$$
• After integration:

\[
\frac{dKE}{dt} = \int_0^{\Delta z} \rho_0 w'B'dz
\]

\[
= (T_{\text{in}} - T_{\text{out}})\rho_0 w'S'' + (g_{v,\text{in}} - g_{v,\text{out}})\rho_0 w'q_T' - G\Delta z \rho_0 w'q_T'
\]

- Work done by a Carnot cycle
- "water vapor penalty"
- Geopotential energy gained by water

Work done by a mixed Carnot-steam cycle
\[
\rho_0 \overline{w'B'} \approx \Gamma_{ad} \rho_0 \overline{w'S'} - \left( \frac{\partial g_v}{\partial z} + G \right) \rho_0 \overline{w'r_T'}
\]
Global considerations
• However, Columbus did not sail directly west from Spain. Rather, he went South to the Canaries islands.

• The prevailing winds in the Canaries blow from the East. This is what Columbus needed in order to sail West.
I think that the causes of the General Trade-Winds have not been fully explained by those who have wrote on the subject…

George Hadley (1735)

- These easterly winds are present through over all subtropical oceans.
- They are now known as the ‘Trade winds’ for the key role they played in the Transatlantic commerce.
George Hadley (1735)

- Hadley explanation for the Trade winds:
  - There is a global circulation, with air rising at the Equator, and subsiding over the Poles
  - Conservation of (angular) momentum implies that air moving toward the equator acquires an easterly component.
Clouds and the Hadley circulation
Ferrel (1836)

- Ferrel was the first to identify the role of rotation in atmospheric motions (the Coriolis effect).
- Also, using Maury’s data, he identifies a reverse circulation associated with the westerly winds in the midlatitudes.
Midlatitudes are however dominated by storms (aka ‘synoptic scale eddies’).

Understanding the interplay between the storm and global circulation is a key issue in modern meteorology.
The general circulation of the atmosphere

- The Earth’s atmosphere receives most of its energy at the surface and in the Tropics.
- But it emits infra-red radiation rather uniformly.
- The circulation acts to transport energy from equator to Pole.
- Other important constraint related to angular momentum balance.
Eulerian averaging

- Eulerian averaging: take the time and zonal average at fixed latitude and pressure (or height)

\[
\bar{F}(\varphi, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \int_0^T \int_0^{2\pi} F(\lambda, \varphi, p, t) d\lambda dt
\]
• Average velocity at constant pressure or height:
  \[ \bar{v}(\varphi, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \int_0^T \int_0^{2\pi} v(\lambda, \varphi, p, t) d\lambda dt \]

• The circulation can be diagnosed by computing the stream function:
  \[ \Psi(\varphi, p) = \int_p^{p_{surf}} 2\pi \bar{v} \cos \varphi \frac{dp}{g} \]
• Eulerian-mean circulation exhibits the ‘classic’ three-cell structure.
• But the Ferrel cell is a reverse circulation that transports energy toward the equator.
Circulation in isentropic coordinates (Dutton, Johnson, Held and Schneider)

• Rather than averaging in eulerian coordinates, one can average the circulation at constant value of the potential temperature $\theta$:

$$\overline{F^\theta}(\varphi,\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \int_0^T \int_0^{2\pi} F(\lambda,\varphi,\theta,t) d\lambda dt$$

$$\Psi^\theta_{\theta}(\varphi,\theta) = \int_0^\theta 2\pi \rho^\theta \nu^\theta a \cos \varphi d\theta$$

• Motivation: the potential temperature is related to entropy and is conserved for reversible adiabatic transformation in the absence of phase transition.
• The three cell structure disappears: there is a single Equator-to-Pole cell in each hemisphere.
• The circulation is direct (high entropy air flows poleward, low entropy air flows equatorward).
Why the circulation in eulerian and isentropic coordinates are in the opposite direction?

- In the midlatitudes, the flow is highly turbulent: the meridional velocity alternates between poleward and equatorward at all levels.
In the stormtracks: Eulerian-mean circulation

• In the midlatitudes, the flow is highly turbulent: the meridional velocity alternates between poleward and equatorward at all levels.

• This idealized eddies is associated with a poleward flow at high pressure/low level, and equatorward flow at high level

\[ \bar{v}^p < 0 \text{ at low pressure} \]

\[ \bar{v}^p > 0 \text{ at high pressure} \]
Thickness variations are such that the upper isentropic layer encompass larger fraction of the poleward flow. Such pattern also corresponds to a net poleward energy mass transport.
Isentropic flow and eddy mass transport

The mass flux on isentropic surfaces can be written as:

\[
\rho_\theta \nu > 0 \text{ at high } \theta \\
\rho_\theta \nu < 0 \text{ at low } \theta
\]

Potential temperature surface

\[
\rho_\theta \nu = \rho_\theta \nu + \rho_\theta' \nu'
\]

Eddy transport

Total mass flux

Transport by mean circulation

The mass transport by the eddies is in the opposite direction to the mean wind.
The potential temperature is more or less conserved, and the circulation on isentropes do a better job at capturing the mean Lagrangian trajectories of air parcels.

In the midlatitudes, the parcels move on average in the opposite direction to the (Eulerian) mean velocity.
What about moisture?

- How to define an isentropic surface in a moist atmosphere?
- Previous studies have used the potential temperature $\theta$ as definition of entropy.
- The equivalent potential temperature $\theta_e$ is conserved for reversible adiabatic transformation, even when phase transition take place.
- Why not use $\theta_e$ then?
- Does it matter?
Different definitions imply different isentropic surfaces.

$\theta_l$ includes a contribution from the latent heat content, and has often a minimum in the middle of the atmosphere.

$\theta_e = cst$

'Dry isentropes': $\theta_1 = cst$

'Moist isentropes': $\theta_e = cst$
• Same single cell structure…
• But amplitude of the circulation differs!
In the Tropics: Transport on dry isentropes is larger.

In the Midlatitudes: Transport on moist isentropes is larger.

Mass transport on dry isentropes

Mass transport on moist isentropes

Annual mean

JJA

DJF
Why the difference in mass transport?

- Both $\theta_e$ and $\theta_l$ are conserved along adiabatic trajectories. Rather than isentropic surfaces, we can think of having a set of ‘isentropic filaments’ - i.e. lines of constant value for both $\theta_e$ and $\theta_l$.

- The poleward mass transport along such isentropic filaments is defined as:

$$M(\theta_{l0}, \theta_{e0}, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \int \int \int \rho v \delta(\theta_l - \theta_{l0}) \delta(\theta_e - \theta_{e0}) d\lambda \frac{dp}{g} dt$$
Mass flux distribution at 40N - DJF

Convection/precipitation

Evaporation

Radiative Cooling

\[ \theta_e - \theta_l \sim \frac{L}{C_p} q_t \]

\[ \theta_e < \theta_l : \text{impossible} \]
In the Midlatitudes:

- Circulation on moist isentropes is larger than that on dry isentropes.
Mass flux distribution at 40N - DJF

Poleward flow

Equatorward flow

Isentropic filaments that intercept the surface
Mass flux and stream function at 40N

Stream function on dry isentropes:

\[ \Psi_{\theta_l}(\Theta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} M(\theta_l, \theta_e) \, d\theta_e \, d\theta_l \]

Stream function on moist isentropes:

\[ \Psi_{\theta_e}(\Theta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} M(\theta_l, \theta_e) \, d\theta_l \, d\theta_e \]
Mass transport at 40N - DJF

- The additional mass transport on moist isentropes takes place in filaments near the Earth’s surface.
- The equivalent potential temperature corresponds to upper tropospheric value of the potential temperature.
- This corresponds to a poleward flow of warm, moist air near the surface that is ready to rise into the upper troposphere.
Why the circulation on moist isentropes is larger?

\[ v > 0 \]

\[ v < 0 \]
In the stormtracks:
Circulation on moist isentropes

- Moist isentropes found in the upper troposphere also intersects the Earth’s surface.
- Such situation corresponds to a poleward flow of warm, moist air near the surface.
Hadley circulation:

- Circulation on dry isentropes is larger than circulation on moist isentropes.
Mass flux distribution at 10N - DJF

Equatorward flow

Poleward flow
Mass flux distribution at 10N - DJF

The poleward and equatorward flows overlap on moist isentropes

But they are well separated on dry isentropes
In the equatorial regions, the potential temperature increases uniformly with height, but not the equivalent potential temperature.

The equivalent potential temperature in equatorward and poleward flows of the Hadley cell are close to each other.
Annual mean

Quadrant I: Upper tropospheric flow
Quadrant II: Low level warm moist air
Quadrant III: Low level dry air

Tropics: upper tropospheric and moist air go in opposite direction
Midlatitudes: upper tropospheric and moist air go in the same direction
• The global circulation has two poleward components in the midlatitudes:
  – an upper tropospheric branch of high $\theta_e - \theta_l$;
  – an a lower branch of warm, most air with high $\theta_e$-low $\theta_l$, which ascent into the upper troposphere within the stormtracks.
• Mass transport is comparable in each branch.
Entropy transport: \[ F_S = \int_0^{p_{surf}} vS \frac{dp}{g} \]

Annual mean

Dry entropy transport

Moist entropy transport

Water transport

\[ F_{S_m} = F_{s_l} + \frac{L_v}{T_0} F_q \]
Annual mean

\[ \Delta \theta (K) \]

JJA

\[ \Delta \theta (K) \]

DJF

\[ \Delta \theta (K) \]

Latitude

Gross Stratification:

\[ \Delta \theta = \frac{F_\theta}{\Delta \Psi_\theta} \]

~Entropy (or potential temperature) per unit mass transported
• In the tropics:

\[ \Delta \theta_e \ll \Delta \theta_l \]

• Vertical stratification of humidity \( \partial_z q \) is in opposite direction to that for potential temperature \( \partial_z \theta \)
In the midlatitudes: $\Delta \theta_e \sim \Delta \theta_l$

- In order to have enhanced mass transport but same stratification, the additional mass transport must take place at $\theta_l$ corresponding to lower tropospheric value, but $\theta_e$ corresponding to upper troposphere.
- It implies that horizontal variations of $\theta_e$ (and of water vapor) are comparable to its vertical variations.
Conclusions

• Heat engine and buoyancy flux computations yields the same answer.
• Entropy and buoyancy are closely tied:
  \[ B' \propto \Gamma_{ad} S'' \]
• ‘Global mean circulation’ depends on the coordinate system - its is true also for any ‘isentropic’ circulation.
• In the midlatitudes, the mass transport on moist isentropes is approximately twice as large as that on dry isentropes.
• The additional mass transport corresponds to a low-level, poleward flow of warm, moist air that ascends into the upper troposphere within the stormtracks.
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