THE BAR CONNECTION: HOW THE MILKY WAY BAR SHAPES THE BULGE AND DISC

FRANCESCA FRAGKOUDI (Fran-goo-dee)



In collaboration with:

MPA

P. Di Matteo, S. White, D. Katz, M. Haywood, A. Gomez, W. Trick, F. Combes,

M. Schultheis, A. Hallé, S. Khoperskov, R. Pakmor, R. Grand, V. Springel

OUTLINE

Introduction

- The bulge-bar connection in the Milky Way
- The disc-bar connection in the Milky Way
- Summary and thoughts for future

OUTLINE

Introduction

The bulge-bar connection in the Milky Way
The disc-bar connection in the Milky Way
Summary and thoughts for the future

FORMING BARRED SPIRAL GALAXIES

Movie Credit: R. Pakmor (Auriga Simulations)













2/3 of disc galaxies in the local Universe have bars (e.g. Aguerri et al. 2009, Gadotti 2009)



Introduction

BAR FORMATION



Fragkoudi et al. (2017c)

Fragkoudi et al. in prep.

BAR FORMATION: ORBITS IN BARS

Inertial Frame



Bars trap stars at resonances:

main ones are co-rotation, inner and outer Lindblad Resonance

$$l\kappa + m(\Omega - \Omega_{\rm p}) = 0$$

Movie: F. Fragkoudi

BAR FORMATION: ANGULAR MOMENTUM EXCHANGE



Bars facilitate angular momentum exchange between the inner and outer disc and halo: brings galaxy into lower energy configuration

BOXY/PEANUT BULGES



Numerical studies have shown that boxy/peanut bulges are the bar seen edgeon (Combes & Sanders 1981, Raha et al. 1991, Martinez-Valpuesta et al. 2006)

Fraction of edge-on disc galaxies with boxy/peanuts is comparable to the fraction of barred galaxies (Lutticke et al. 2000)

Introduction

BOXY/PEANUT BULGE FORMATION

Isolated/Idealised Model







Fragkoudi et al. (2017c)

Fragkoudi et al. in prep.

OUTLINE

Introduction

 The bulge-bar connection in the Milky Way The Milky Way as a pure disc galaxy: Clues from morphology, kinematics and chemistry
The disc-bar connection in the Milky Way

Summary and thoughts for the future

 Morphology: X/boxy/peanut-shape (e.g. Weiland+94, Dwek+95, McWilliam+10) - forms due to bar buckling/vertical resonances (e.g. Combes+81)



 Morphology: X/boxy/peanut-shape (e.g. Weiland+94, Dwek+95, McWilliam+10) - forms due to bar buckling/vertical resonances (e.g. Combes+81)



- Morphology: X/boxy/peanut-shape (e.g. Weiland+94, Dwek+95, McWilliam+10) - forms due to bar buckling/vertical resonances (e.g. Combes+81)
- **Kinematics**: cylindrical rotation typical of b/p, i.e. disc origin (Kunder+12, Shen+10)



- Morphology: X/boxy/peanut-shape (e.g. Weiland+94, Dwek+95, McWilliam+10) - forms due to bar buckling/vertical resonances (e.g. Combes+81)
- **Kinematics**: cylindrical rotation typical of b/p, i.e. disc origin (Kunder+12, Shen+10)
- Chemistry: broad metallicity distribution function (e.g. McWilliam+94, Zoccali+08, Hill+11, Ness+13, Rojas-Arriagada+14,17) – Coexistence of multiple stellar pops.



- Morphology: X/boxy/peanut-shape (e.g. Weiland+94, Dwek+95, McWilliam+10) - forms due to bar buckling/vertical resonances (e.g. Combes+81)
- **Kinematics**: cylindrical rotation typical of b/p, i.e. disc origin (Kunder+12, Shen+10)
- Coexistence of



- Morphology: X/boxy/peanut-shape (e.g. Weiland+94, Dwek+95, McWilliam+10) - forms due to bar buckling/vertical resonances (e.g. Combes+81)
- **Kinematics**: cylindrical rotation typical of b/p, i.e. disc origin (Kunder+12, Shen+10)
- Chemistry: broad metallicity distribution function (s in the bulge?) Zoccali+08, Hill+11, Ness+13, Rojas-Astropopulations in the bulge? multiple stellar pops.
 What is the origin of the metal-poor population (s) - Coexistence of the stellar population (s) - Coexistence (s) -

VS

Hot/Thick disc



HOW WE CONSTRUCT PURE DISC MODEL



Bovy+12c

HOW WE CONSTRUCT PURE DISC MODEL



Bovy+12c





- Run controlled N-body experiment
- Set masses, scale lengths, scale heights & kinematics of discs to represent discretised version of the Milky Way disc (metal-poor 50%, metal-rich 50%)
- Assign metallicities and α -abundances to the discs corresponding to properties of MW disc stellar populations





Evolves secularly in isolation - forms bar & b/p bulge

MORPHOLOGY cold hot ^{9.0} 3.5 ^{9.0} 3.5 3.0 3.0 2.5 2.5 y (kpc) y (kpc) \bigcirc 2.0 2.0 1.5 1.5 1.0 1.0 これんの (kpc) (kpc) 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 10 -10-5 5 0 0 10 5 -10 x (kpc) x (kpc)

Cold population has a more barred and peanut-like morphology, compared to the hot component



Cold/thin disc loses more angular momentum than hot/thick disc









Similar trends in morphology for cold/hot metal-rich/metalpoor populations in the MW bulge



KINEMATICS

KINEMATICS



KINEMATICS



Hot/thick disc has higher los velocity than thin disc in the b/p bulge region


Hot/thick disc has higher los velocity than thin disc in the b/p bulge region



Hotter (thick disc) population has rounder orbits and therefore higher los velocities

Fragkoudi+17b, A&A, 606, A47



Hot/thick disc has higher los velocity than thin disc in the b/p bulge region



Stellar populations in the MW bulge show similar trends for cold and hot populations

Fragkoudi+17b, A&A, 606, A47



 Δ v: difference in velocity between metal-rich and metal-poor populations



Solid : thin+thick disc



Solid : thin+thick disc Dashed : thin disc+classical bulge



Solid : thin+thick disc Dashed : thin disc+classical bulge Data : ARGOS & APOGEE



Good fit to data with thick disc model (dispersion dominated spheroidal component is incompatible)

APOGEE Survey:

- Near infrared high resolution spectroscopic survey, part of SDSS III (Majewski+17)
- Covers regions also close to the plane of the MW, including inner disc and bulge
- We use data release 13 (SDSS+16)





Vertical metallicity gradient is present initially and persists after formation of bar and b/p (see also Bekki+11, Di Matteo+14)

Fragkoudi+17c, A&A, 607, L4



In composite disc model the thin metal-rich disc dominates close to the plane and metal-poor thick disc dominates far from plane

Fragkoudi+17c, A&A, 607, L4

Fragkoudi+18, A&A 616, 180



This difference in fractional contribution leads to a vertical and longitudinal metallicity gradient in the bulge/inner disc



(below plane)

Fragkoudi+18, A&A 616, 180



Fragkoudi+18, A&A 616, 180



OUTLINE

Introduction

The bulge-bar connection in the Milky Way

The disc-bar connection in the Milky Way

The phase-space substructures in Gaia DR2 & their relation to bar-induced orbital structure

Summary and thoughts for the future

USING GAIA TO TRACE THE MOVEMENT OF (MILLIONS OF) STARS



Gaia DR2: Full 6D phase-space information (parallaxes, proper motions & radial velocities) for a few million stars (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018)

LINKING PHASE-SPACE TOPOGRAPHY TO UNDERLYING ORBITAL STRUCTURE Phase-space of the disc in Gaia DR2 Streams Ridges Undulations 300 300 300 12 280 280 280 260 260 260 V₆ (km/s) (s/uz) 220 V₆ (km/s) V; (km/s) 240 240 220 220 \sim° 200 200 200 180 180 180 -9 -12 160 160 160 -15 11 12 10 11 12 10 100 50 -50-1008 r (kpc) r (kpc) V₇ (km/s)

Fragkoudi et al. (submitted)

(see Kawata et al. 2018, Antoja et al. 2018, Ramos et al. 2018)

LINKING PHASE-SPACE TOPOGRAPHY TO UNDERLYING ORBITAL STRUCTURE Phase-space of the disc in Gaia DR2 Ridges **Streams Undulations** 300 300 300 280 280 280 260 260 260 V₆ (km/s) (s/u) 220 (s/u) V₆ (km/s) 240 240 Vr, (km/s) 220 220 \sim° 200 200 200 180 180 180 -12 160 160 160 11 10 11 12 100 50 8 12 10 -50-100r (kpc) r (kpc) V₇ (km/s)

Fragkoudi et al. (submitted)

(see Kawata et al. 2018, Antoja et al. 2018, Ramos et al. 2018)

To understand the origin of the phase-space topography in the Milky Way disc we explore the phase-space substructures in our self-consistent N-body simulation and the underlying orbital structure which gives rise to it









Longest ridge in V_ϕ - r plane associated to bar OLR orbits

CLOSED PERIODIC AND TRAPPED LIBRATING ORBITS



At bar OLR have two main families of stable closed periodic orbits: x1(1) (aligned) and x1(2) (anti-aligned)

CLOSED PERIODIC AND TRAPPED LIBRATING ORBITS



At bar OLR have two main families of stable closed periodic orbits: x1(1) (aligned) and x1(2) (anti-aligned)

Stable closed periodic orbits trap around them librating orbits. Trapped orbits can have different libration amplitudes (distance from closed periodic orbit in phase-space)





Small excursions in angular momentum and energy for OLR orbits Ridges follow lines of constant energy and constant angular momentum



Correlation between ridges and Vr undulations









Dehnen (2000) associated Hercules stream to x1(2) orbits at the OLR (outwards moving)



Hercules made from x1(2) type orbits but also highly librating x1(1) orbits.

`The horn' made from x1(1) orbits with small libration amplitudes



Orbits in Hercules and `the horn' have different libration amplitudes.

Region 2

Region 1

9

10

LINKING PHASE-SPACE TOPOGRAPHY TO UNDERLYING ORBITAL STRUCTURE





Orbits in Hercules and `the horn' have different libration amplitudes.

6

This could lead to difference in ratio of thin to thick disc stars in these regions

7

x

8

Region 2

Region 1

9

10

LINKING PHASE-SPACE TOPOGRAPHY TO UNDERLYING ORBITAL STRUCTURE

300

200

100

0

-100

-200

-300

4

 $E_i = -134452$

5





Orbits in Hercules and `the horn' have different libration amplitudes.

6

This could lead to difference in ratio of thin to thick disc stars in these regions

7

x

8

Can have implications on metallicity distribution in Vφ - Vr plane



Short/fast bar (e.g. Dehnen 2000)
LINKING PHASE-SPACE TOPOGRAPHY TO UNDERLYING ORBITAL STRUCTURE



Fragkoudi et al. (submitted)

LINKING PHASE-SPACE TOPOGRAPHY TO UNDERLYING ORBITAL STRUCTURE



Model in which Sun is outside bar corotation i.e., slow/long bar scenario, does not reproduce Hercules/Horn asymmetry - would need some other mechanism to produce it (e.g. spirals or m=4, e.g. Hunt et al. 2018, Hunt & Bovy 2018)

Fragkoudi et al. (submitted)

OUTLINE

Introduction

The bulge-bar connection in the Milky Way
The disc-bar connection in the Milky Way

Summary and thoughts for future

GALAXY DYNAMICS IN THE COSMOLOGICAL CONTEXT



Study secular processes (bar formation, peanut formation, orbital resonances in the disc) in full cosmological context

Fragkoudi et al. in prep.

SUMMARY

- Milky Way bulge is compatible with a pure thin+thick disc (in situ) origin (morphology, kinematics, chemistry)
 - Hotter, metal-poor populations have weaker peanut and bar morphology (rounder)
 - Hotter, metal-poor populations can have slightly higher los velocities at edge of peanut
 - Can reproduce bulge abundances maps and MDF in different fields with thin+thick disc model
- Gaia DR2 revealed complex in-plane phase-space substructures. Bar-induced resonances give rise to ridges and undulations in phase-space
 - The longest ridge in $V\phi$ -r plane is due to bar OLR
 - Undulations in V_r are caused by bar OLR (x1(1) and x1(2) orbits) produce Hercules and `the horn'
 - Merci EUXOPIOTÓ Difference in libration amplitudes of orbits in Hercules and the horn can lead to different thin/thick disc ratio and therefore metallicity distribution in V_{ϕ} - V_r plane
 - Slow/long bar model cannot produce Hercules/Horn asymmetry would need some other mechanism (spirals? m=4?)
- Galaxy dynamics (bar/peanut formation) in the full cosmological context

Fragkoudi+17b, A&A, 606, A47

Gómez, Di Matteo, Schultheis, FF+18

Fragkoudi+17c, A&A, 607, L4

Fragkoudi+18, A&A, 616, 180

Fragkoudi+19 (arXiv:1901.07568)

Fragkoudi+in prep.